1. INTRODUCTION

Industrial automation aims at taking over repetitive tasks. Thereby allowing workers to do high skill jobs as well as increases productivity and quality of products. This study aims to build a software library under the name fx\_library for Fluxana GmbH & Co. KG with the use of Universal Robots UR3e to automate the process of loading and unloading crucibles and glass beads into the Vitriox 4+ machine. This chapter serves as an introduction to the company for which the Fluxana Library, the robot brand and the functions that will be included in the library.

1.1 FLUXANA INTRODUCTION

Fluxana was founded in 2002 by Dr. Rainer Schramm and is a registered trademark for X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) solutions. Fluxana is an accredited manufacturer of reference materials. There are several more services that Fluxana offers which include using the Vitriox 4+ machine in Figure 1.1.1 for material analysis for up to four samples at a time and up to sixteen samples in total.



Figure 1.1.1: Vitriox Electric 4+ [92].

Currently, the samples are loaded and unloaded manually by a user, however, it is aimed to automate the process to invest human workforce into tasks that require skill rather than repetitiveness.

Starting from February 2021, Fluxana has been working with Universal Robot’s UR3e to automate the loading and unloading process. Programming of the cobot was initially done through the Universal Robot API which is available on the polyscope that comes with the robot. Afterwards, Fluxana’s own API was created using Visual Basic programming language and connecting to the robot with an Ethernet cable for communication over socket connections. Socket connections are links that allow communication on a network between two ends. This approach, however, was limited since all functions had to be coded from scratch. Therefore, the next step was to create the API using Robot Operating System (ROS), where already existing open-source code can be used to code improved versions of the Fluxana Library functions required for the pick and place application for the Vitrox 4+.

On the long term Fluxana plans to use artificial intelligence and computer vision to enhance the performance of the library by checking if the crucibles have been loaded correctly into the machine before turning on the furnace and starting the fusion operation. This is to avoid accidents that may occur at the high centrifugal forces inside the machine. The state of the glass beads will also be checked using machine learning models to make sure that the glass is not broken before unloading it.

1.3. MOTIVATION

The purpose of this study is to build the Fluxana Library which contains functions aimed to automate the pick and place application for the Vitriox 4+. This library will be built using Robot Operating System (ROS) to benefit from its already existing libraries and functionalities. The functions included range from ones that are used for starting up the robot, and activating external control, move the robot given different types of arguments to describe the end target and velocity and acceleration used to reach it, as well as functions to stop and shut down the robot. The scope of this study is limited to setting the speeds and accelerations for the joints and not setting them for the end effector as well as does not include functions for controlling the end effector, these functions for gripping are, however, planned to be implemented and added to the library in the future as they are essential for the pick and place application. For better understanding of some of the commands, the reader needs to have basic knowledge of Linux terminal commands.

1.4. REQUIREMENT SPECIFICATIONS

A software library is to be developed to get easy access of Cobot commands from an API software for Fluxana. The goal is to use the same concepts to work with UR3e and NIRYO Ned2 cobots. This thesis will only discuss the Fluxana Library for the UR3e. The list of functions required for the library are as follows:

* Going to home position
* Moving along an axis
* Moving to joint values
* Moving to a pose
* Moving from start to end pose
* Stopping movement
* Releasing brakes
* Powering off
* Powering on
* Getting robot mode
* Initializing the robot
* Getting remote control status
* Shutting down
* Starting external control
* Stopping external control
* Unlocking protective stop

Each of these functions will be discussed in more detail in the Fluxana Library in chapter 4.

1.5. CHAPTER DESCRIPTION

Chapter 2 will lay down the theoretical background necessary in programming the Fluxana Library and will be divided into a section about robot kinematics and one about Robot operating System (ROS). Chapter 3 will continue to describe the system architecture used in the study as well as the file hierarchy used. Chapter 4 contains a breakdown and description of the Fluxana Library. Chapter 5 contains tests done to check the performance of the Fluxana Library functions. Chapter 6 includes the conclusion of the study along with a brief description of the future works and projects Fluxana plans to do.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

It is important to understand some basic concepts regarding kinematics of robots and Robot Operating System (ROS) before going into the implementation of the Fluxana Library. Basic robot kinematics knowledge is needed in this thesis as there are move commands in the library which requires the understanding of how to give the robot commands for moving to a specified target and to be able to understand the difference between the different representations of that target. It is also important to understand what a kinematic chain is and how Denavit-Hartenberg parameters are used in describing it.

As for ROS, it is a widely used framework that allows the creation of scalable robotic applications. Knowledge about this framework is necessary as it will be used in creating the Fluxana Library. The ROS concepts discussed will range from:

* + Explaining what a node is and how to launch it
  + Different types of communications used by ROS
  + MoveIt! Framework for motion planning and collision checking
  + Rviz for visualization in robotic applications
  + URDF and Xacro for robot description

Each of the mentioned concepts are explained in more detail throughout this chapter.

2.1. KINEMATICS OF ROBOTS

Robot kinematics studies the movement of the robot manipulator with regards to a reference frame without considering the forces acting on it [17]. Moving the robot to a target pose can be done linear either in joint or tool space, where:

* + Joint space: describes the robot angular position of the manipulator using the six joint values of the UR3e.
  + Tool space: used for moving the robot tool tip in a straight line and is defined in terms of position and orientation of the tool tip.

Figure 2.1.1 shows the coordinate system, also referred to as the base frame, used by the robot on the left and the tool center point (TCP) used for planning in tool space on the right:



Figure 2.1.1: Base frame (left) and the TCP frame (right) [85].

The positive y-axis is defined along the power chord of the robot, the positive z-axis is defined upwards, and the positive x-axis can be derived using the right hand rule.

Kinematics allow conversions between these two spaces in what is known as forward and inverse kinematics. Forward kinematics is the method of calculating the unique pose of a given frame through given joint angles. Inverse kinematics is the calculation to get the joint angles for a given pose and does not guarantee that the solution exists or is unique. Figure 2.1.2 shows the relationship between both spaces, where the n corresponds to the number of joints in the manipulator, eg. for this application, the joint space will be represented by (q1, q2, q3, q4, q5, q6)T:





Figure 2.1.2: Converting between joint- and tool spaces using forward- and inverse kinematics [19].

The following simplified example will give an idea of how forward and inverse kinematics are related:






Figure 2.1.3: Simple example for forward- and inverse kinematics using two links [32].

Using geometry, the 2D point P can be separated into its x- and y- components as follows: Px = l1cos(θ1) + l2cos(θ1 + θ2)

Py = l1Sin(θ1) + l2 Sin(θ1 + θ2)

For forward kinematics, Px and Py are unknowns that need to be solved for and the θ1 and θ2 are given, which leads to one unique solution by plugging in the thetas into the formulas. For inverse kinematics, however, θ1 and θ2 are then the unknowns and the Px and Py are given. The solution can then be found using numerical methods, but multiple values may be the solution or there could be no values that solve the problem.

For Fluxana’s pick and place applications both types of movements are used. For example, to go to the home position, the joint space needs to be used since at this configuration, the manipulator arm is fully stretched which causes problems with finding the inverse kinematics solutions due to singularities or loss of degrees of freedom. However, linear movement is needed when going up or down to load or unload the crucible or the glass bead to make sure that the end effector does not collide with the components during movement. However, the motion planning and the choice of space to execute the motion in will be done using MoveIt! Framework for the scope of this study. For more information, please refer to the MoveIt! documentation in the ROS chapter. To use tool space, it is important to learn about the different ways of representing the orientation of the TCP, which will be explained next.

# ORIENTATION REPRESENTATION

Rigid bodies are assumed to not deform during movement, which is an idealization that will be used in this study. Rigid body motion can be:

* Translation: whole body moves at the same velocity and acceleration
* Rotation: movement around an axis of rotation.
* Planar motion: combination of translations and rotations

To move the robot, the target joint values or pose need to be known. The term pose describes the position and orientation of a frame of reference. The position is a vector from the origin of the base frame to the origin of the reference frame of the rigid body. While the orientation describes the rotation of the frame of reference in space, and can be represented using a rotation matrix, Euler angles, rotation vector, or quaternions as explained in more detail in this section.

To understand more what a frame is, here are some examples of standard frames in a workspace:




Figure 2.1.4: Standard frames examples [15].

Where:

* Base frame {B}: the frame of the base link.
* Station frame {S}: the “world” frame, where the robot actions are performed relative to it,

here it is given as the table corner.

* Wrist frame {W}: the frame of the last manipulator link, in case of UR3e it is the wrist 3.
* Tool frame {T}: the frame attached to the tool of the manipulator, in this study, the gripper.
* Goal Frame {G}: the frame of where the robot is to move its tool frame.

# ROTATION MATRIX

The rotation matrix is a 3𝑥3 matrix describing the relative orientation between reference frames. The rotation matrix describing {𝐴} relative to {𝐵} is denoted as:

𝑟11 𝑟12 𝑟13

𝐴 = [𝑟21 𝑟22 𝑟23]

𝑅

𝐵

𝑟31 𝑟32 𝑟33

Where {𝐴} and {𝐵} are the short-hand representations of frames A and B. Multiple rotation matrices can be multiplied to represent multiple rotations. Since matrices are not commutative, the order of rotations matters.

The rotation that makes up the rotation matrix can be separated into their rotation components around the x-, y-, and z-axes as follows:




Figure 2.1.5: Visual representation of the rotation matrix [99].

# EULER ANGLES

Euler angles describes the orientation of a rigid body in reference to a fixed frame and uses the roll, pitch, and yaw (RPY) to represent the orientation, where:

* + - * + Roll is angle phi (𝜑 ) about the x-axis.
        + Pitch is the angle theta (𝜃 ) about the y-axis.
        + Yaw is the angle psi (𝜓 ) about the z-axis.

The following is a visual representation of how the Euler angles can change the paper plane orientation in space:




Figure 2.1.6: Visual aid for the roll pitch and yaw [6].

There are 12 possible combinations for Euler angle representations, but the UR3e uses Rzyx. Euler angles should be converted into the rotation matrices for kinematics and dynamics calculations using the following rotation matrix representations of RPY:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 𝑅𝑥(𝜑) = [0 | cos(𝜑) | − sin(𝜑)] |
| 0 | sin(𝜑) | cos(𝜑) |

𝑅𝑦(𝜃) = [

cos(𝜃) 0 sin(𝜃)

0 1 0 ]

− sin(𝜃) 0 cos(𝜃)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| cos(ψ) | − sin(ψ) | 0 |
| 𝑅𝑧(ψ) = [sin(ψ) | cos(ψ) | 0] |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |

Multiplying the rotation matrices in the order used by Universal Robots yields the rotation matrix:

𝑅 = 𝑅𝑧(𝛼)𝑅𝑦(𝛽)𝑅𝑥(𝛾)

𝑟11 𝑟12 𝑟13

= [𝑟21 𝑟22 𝑟23]

𝑟31 𝑟32 𝑟33

However, Euler angles have the disadvantage of containing discontinuities and that the result depends on the order of rotations. Therefore, Universal Robots uses the rotation vector representation. URScript provides functions that convert between the two representations and are *rpy2rotvec* and *rotvec2rpy*, for more information on how to use those functions, please refer to the URScript manual.

An important point to note about the RPY angles is the possibility of the occurrence of the gimbal lock

𝑝𝑖

[99], which is defined by the loss of a degree of freedom and occurs when the pitch angle is

2

this effect can be illustrated by Figure 2.1.7.

radians,




Figure 2.1.7: Both [20°, 90°, 0°] and [0°, 90°, 20°] lead to the same result due to the gimbal lock [37].

To avoid this problem, ROS uses quaternion representation of orientation. In brief, conversions between Euler and rotation vector are necessary when communicating with the teach pendant and conversions between Euler and quaternions are necessary when communicating with ROS.

# ROTATION VECTOR

The rotation vector representation uses a unit vector to define the rotation axis and an angle 𝜃 for the magnitude of rotation about the axis and is used for the default pose display on the Universal Robots teach pendant, where it is defined as follows:

𝑟𝑥 θu𝑥

𝑟 = [𝑟𝑦] = [θu𝑦]

𝑟𝑧

θu𝑧

The following is a visual aid for the rotation vector representation:




Figure 2.1.8: Rotation vector visual representation [6].

# QUATERNIONS

Quaternions use four values to fully define the rotation of a vector in comparison to the nine values from a rotation matrix. They are based on complex numbers and are defined as [27]:

𝒒 = 𝒘 + 𝒙 𝕚 + 𝒚 𝕛 + 𝒛 𝕜 , **{**𝒘, 𝒙, 𝒚, 𝒛 ∈ ℝ}

Where:

𝕚𝟐 **=** 𝕛𝟐 **=** 𝕜𝟐 **=** 𝕚𝕛𝕜 = −𝟏

Quaternions do not have the problem of gimbal lock and allow for seamless interpolation between three dimensional orientations as well as avoid numerical errors that arise from using other methods. Given a point p, the rotated version is calculated by [2]:

prot = q \* p \* q-1

Where:

q-1 = w - x 𝕚 - y 𝕛 - z 𝕜

The conversion between Euler angles and quaternions are done through the

𝑡𝑓. 𝑡𝑟𝑎𝑛𝑠𝑓𝑜𝑟𝑚𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛𝑠. 𝑞𝑢𝑎𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑟𝑜𝑚\_𝑒𝑢𝑙𝑒𝑟 and

𝑡𝑓. 𝑡𝑟𝑎𝑛𝑠𝑓𝑜𝑟𝑚𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛𝑠. 𝑒𝑢𝑙𝑒𝑟\_𝑓𝑟𝑜𝑚\_𝑞𝑢𝑎𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑖𝑜𝑛 functions. For more information, please refer to the ros.org documentation of the function, which can be found in the following referenced source [97].

# KINEMATIC CHAIN

Kinematic chain describes how the manipulator arm elements are arranged and connected. These elements can be links, joints, or end-effectors. The UR3e is made up of a stationary base link to connect the robot to the workstation, as well as moveable links that are connected using revolute joints, in addition to a Robotiq HandE end-effector that is used for gripping. The mentioned elements are briefly described below:

* Base: a stationary link used to attach the robot arm to the table, since the manipulator arm is fixed as most industrial robots are.
* Link: rigid part that has a defined relationship with the other links. The UR3e has six movable links.
* Joint: elements that connect links in a kinematic chain. There are two primary types of joints:
  + Prismatic: translates a frame along an axis.
  + Revolute: rotates a frame around an axis.

The UR3e has six revolute joints which provide six degrees of freedoms (DOFs) in total and allow for relative rotational motion between links, where DOFs define the ways the manipulator arm can move. The arrangement of joints in the UR3e can be seen as follows:




Figure 2.1.9: Breakdown of the UR3e Joints [85]

* End-effector: A Robotiq HandE gripper that is used for the pick and place application. The HandE gripper is a 1kg end effector with gripping force of 20-185N and gripping speed 20- 150mm/s based on user defined settings and can carry a load of up to 5kg. Controlling the gripper is outside the scope of this study, however, it will be considered in the collision checking when giving the robot move commands, this is done by adding the digital description of the gripper to the URDF file of the manipulator, which will be discussed in more detail in the URDF section in the ROS documentation. The TCP is defined in relation to the base reference system and can be used in calculating paths for the end effector.

The following image shows an example of the different kinematic chain elements of the UR3e:




Figure 2.1.10: Kinematic chain elements of the UR3e.

Figure 2.1.11 shows the coordinate system used for the same pose the robot has in the previous figure. The figure is taken from the digital representation of the robot by using RViz which will be explained in more details in the RViz section ROS documentation. The green line represents the positive y-axis, the red line represents the positive x-axis, and the blue line represents the positive z-axis:




Figure 2.1.11: Coordinate system used by the robot: x-axis in red, y-axis in green, z-axis in blue.

Now that the different kinematic chain elements have been described, it is important to be able to describe the relationship between them, which can be done using the DH parameters.

# DH PARAMETERS

The relationship between the different links and joints of the manipulator arm needs to be defined to do forward and inverse kinematics calculations. For the definition of the kinematic chain, the four Denavit-Hartentberg (DH) parameters need to be known. These parameters are defined from the base until the end effector and are used to define the link frames. DH parameters consist of two rotational parameters and two linear ones and are as follows:

* 𝑑i: distance between the origin of framen-1 and framen, along the direction 𝑍n-1.
* 𝜃i: rotation about axis 𝑍n-1 for axes 𝑋n-1 and 𝑋n to align.
* 𝑟i: distance between the origin of framen-1 and framen along the 𝑋n direction.
* 𝛼i: rotation around axis 𝑋n for axes 𝑍n-1 and 𝑍n to align.

The following figure is used to define the DH parameters of the UR3e when it has joint value zero for all joints.




Figure 2.1.12: The different link frames for a UR3e at the joint values [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] [87].

𝛼i and 𝑟i are constants that are derived from the geometry of the kinematic chain and 𝜃i and 𝑑i depend on whether the joint is revolute or prismatic. For the UR3e, the joints are revolute, therefore, 𝑑i is constant and 𝜃i is variable [71]. The UR3e DH Parameters are defined as follows:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | theta [rad] | a [mm] | d [mm] | alpha [rad] |
| Joint 1 | 0 | 0 | 151.85 | 𝜋 2 |
| Joint 2 | 0 | -243.55 | 0 | 0 |
| Joint 3 | 0 | -213.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Joint 4 | 0 | 0 | 131.05 | 𝜋 2 |
| Joint 5 | 0 | 0 | 853.5 | 𝜋  − 2 |
| Joint 6 | 0 | 0 | 921 | 0 |

Table 2.1.1: The DH Parameters for UR3e [87]

These parameters will play an important role in defining the kinematic chain digitally for ROS using the URDF and Xacro files in later chapters.

# TRANSFORMATIONS

A transformation matrix combines rotation and translation between frames in a 4𝑥4 matrix, where

𝐴𝑇 is the transform matrix of {B} relative to {A} and has the following formula:

𝐵

𝐴

𝐴𝑇 = [ 𝐵

𝐵

𝐴𝑃𝐵𝑂𝑅𝐺 ]

0 0 0 1

𝑅

Figure 2.1.13 shows the transform of {B} relative to {A}, where the origin of {B} is offset from the origin

of {A} by

𝐴𝑃𝐵𝑂𝑅𝐺. Frame {B} is also rotated with respect to {A} with what can be described using the

rotation matrix:




Figure 2.1.13: Transform of {B} relative to {A} [15].

To describe relative relationships between links, {i} is assigned to link 𝑖. The link transformations between links 𝑖 relative to 𝑖 − 1 can be denoted as 𝑖 − 1𝑇, where 𝑐 and 𝑠 are the shorthand notations for cosine and sine respectively and parameters used are DH parameters:

𝑖

𝑐𝜃𝑖 −𝑠𝜃𝑖 0 𝑎𝑖−1

𝑖−1𝑇 = [𝑠𝜃𝑖𝑐𝛼𝑖−1 𝑐𝜃𝑖𝑐𝛼𝑖−1 −𝑠𝛼𝑖−1 −𝑠𝛼𝑖−1𝑑𝑖]

𝑖 𝑠𝜃𝑖𝑠𝛼𝑖−1 0

𝑐𝜃𝑖𝑠𝛼𝑖−1 0

𝑐𝛼𝑖−1 0

𝑐𝛼𝑖−1𝑑𝑖 1

Cascading can be used to get position and orientation of frames not directly neighboring each other. Transformation of {n} relative to base frame is defined as:

0𝑇 =

0𝑇1𝑇 … 𝑛−1𝑇

𝑛 1 2 𝑛

If the transform of {B} relative to {A} is known, the transform of {A} relative to {B} is the inverse as by:

𝐴𝑇 =

𝐵

𝐴 −1

𝐵

𝑇

This is especially helpful when the transformation of {A} relative to {B} is known but the transformation of {B} relative to {A} is the one needed for a calculation. To calculate the coordinates of a point in {B} relative to {A}, the following formula is used:

𝐴𝑃 = 𝐴𝑇𝐵𝑃

𝐵

Some of these formulas will be used later to calibrate the TCP on the teach pendant to get matching results with ROS.

# JACOBIAN MATRIX

The Jacobian matrix is a matrix containing the velocity vectors by taking the partial derivatives of the joint and link positions. It relates the velocity of the end effector and the joints through the following equation:

𝑥̇

𝑦̇

𝑧̇

𝑞̇1

𝑞̇2

𝑞̇3

𝜔̇

= 𝐽 𝑞̇

𝑥 4

𝜔̇𝑦 [𝜔̇𝑧]

𝑞̇5 [𝑞̇6]

Where qi is the velocity of the 𝑖th joint, and the J is a 6x6 Jacobian matrix since the UR3e has 6 joints. This matrix can be used in the future to take the input for the speed as a 1𝑥6 vector specifying the speed in tool space and calculating the corresponding joint speeds and setting them accordingly, but that exceeds the scope of this study.

2.2. ROBOT OPERATING SYSTEM (ROS)

ROS is an open-source middleware that offers libraries and tools for scalable robot applications [16]. These applications include:

* Robot control: sending move commands that have been checked for possible collisions with the environment is done with path planning using the MoveIt! framework.
* Visualization: is useful for getting the perception of the robot of its world and objects in its environment, and is, therefore, a helpful debugging tool. RViz has proven very useful when getting the robot’s digital description of the kinematic chain and frames to match the real robot during the course of this study.
* Simulation: uses a digital twin of the robot and can be used for testing and analysis of the robot behaviors before executing commands on the real robot, thereby enhancing safety. The tool for simulating in ROS is Gazebo but it exceeds the scope of this study.
* Grasping: grasping and releasing gripper actions are essential in the given pick and place application as crucibles and glass beads need to be grasped and placed at the appropriate positions. The Fluxana Library does not include gripping functions for the scope of this study, but it will be added on in the future.

ROS filesystem has the basic structure outlined below in Figure 2.2.1, where a Catkin workspace contains folders for source-, build-, and development related software. ROS packages can be found in the src folder and contain files with information about dependencies of the packages and build information, this information is found in the CMakeLists.txt and the package.xml files. The scripts folder in the package contains executables like the .py files containing the Fluxana Library code in the case of this study. The package can also include folders under the name srv or msg with ROS messages that are custom created for the package, ROS messages are used for defining different fields and constants and has different structures based on the different types of communication in ROS and will be discussed later in the ROS Messages section. The launch folder in the package contains launch files that can be used to run one or more nodes as well as define required parameters. Since the Workspace created for this study does not need all the folders mentioned in the image below, the actual project will have a different structure that will be discussed later in the system architecture.




Figure 2.2.1: File structure for a ROS workspace [4].

ROS uses nodes to perform computations, where different styles of communication can be used and are as follows:

* Topics: use the publish/subscribe communication paradigm and allows for asynchronous communication between nodes. A publisher publishes information to a topic and subscriber to that topic receives it.
* Services: use the request/response paradigm, where a client sends a request with the required arguments and receives a response from the server. This is done in a synchronous manner, meaning that the client does not execute anything else until it receives the response.
* Actions: uses similar communication to services but does not block the client until the response is received. Actions are useful for tasks that execute over a long duration and require feedback or the option for cancellation.

To use ROS, a ROS master needs to be running as described below in more detail.

# ROS MASTER

ROS master acts as a tracker that lets publishers, subscribers and services locate each other by providing naming registration services to the nodes to allow the nodes to communicate on a peer-to- peer level [100]. A publishing node first needs to advertise its data but if there are no subscribers to the topic, no data is sent. When a node subscribes to the publishing node a connection is established between them and the data transfer starts [102]. Figure 2.2.2 shows how the Master works:




Figure 2.2.2: The steps for publishing and subscribing in ROS [63] [64].

ROS transports message using TCP/IP-based protocol called TCPROS or a UDP-based one called UDPROS, where TCPROS is the default protocol for message transfer due to the lossy nature of UDPROS. TCP/IP or Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol defines how devices transfer data to each other over a network. TCP/IP involves breaking down the data to be transferred into packets that are sent and reassembled at the receiver. The TCP part defines the rules for data transfer and the IP is for defining the address the data is transferred to.

This protocol has four layers as shown below:

|  |
| --- |
| **Application layer: the level on which the user communication is done.** |
| **Transport layer: responsible for data transfer between two devices and acknowledgement of successfully received data packets.** |
| **Network layer: controls the movement of data packets within the network.** |
| **Datalink layer: responsible for sending and receiving data using an Ethernet cable, wireless network, ...** |

Figure 2.2.3: The different layers of the TCP/IP protocol[96][20].

Running a ROS system on multiple machines needs only one master, with all nodes configured to that master [25]. This setup will be used to communicate between the GUI for the Fluxana Library and the Linux machine in the future and will be discussed further in the future Works section. Next the file structure of ROS will be described in more detail, starting with the ROS Workspace.

* + 1. ROS WORKSPACE

A ROS workspace is where ROS packages are organized and built, ROS packages will be discussed in more detail in the next section. ROS melodic uses catkin build system to generate libraries, executable programs, and generated scripts. To create a Catkin workspace, use the following commands in Linux [40]:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. $ mkdir -p ~/catkin\_ws/src 2. $ cd ~/catkin\_ws/ 3. $ catkin\_make 4. $ source devel/setup.bash |
| **Explanation:**  Line 1 creates a folder called catkin\_ws and within that folder one called src. Line 2 then continues to navigate inside the catkin\_ws folder to build it and source it to update the changes in the environment in the lines to follow. The information necessary to build software packages can be found in CMakeLists.txt [91]. Dependency management is done in the package.xml file. ROS  Packages can then be placed inside the src folder. |

# ROS PACKAGE

ROS packages are directories used for organizing software, configuration, launch parameter files, and nodes for easy code reuse. To create a package, use the command, all letters in the package name must be lower case to avoid issuing errors:

|  |
| --- |
| **$ catkin\_create\_pkg <package\_name> [depend1] [depend2] [depend3]** |

The [dependX] specifies the dependencies of the package. A ROS package commonly contain the following folders:

* scripts: contains executables
* src: contains source files
* launch folder: contains launch files
* package.xml file: an xml file used for storing information about the package dependencies. Different types of dependencies can be present in the package.xml file, and can be seen in Table2.2.1 [8]:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Type | Tag | Description |
| Build dependencies | <build\_depend> | specifies the additional packages needed at build time to build the package |
| Build export dependencies | <build\_export\_depend> | Needed additional packages needed for building libraries against the packages |
| Build tool dependencies | <buildtool\_depend> | specifies build tool needed, in this case catkin |

Table 2.2.1: Excerpt of package.xml dependencies

* + CMakeLists.txt file: used for storing information for building a package, where the ordering of the file elements is predefined and should be followed. The most relevant tags to the thesis are listed in Table 2.2.2 [70]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Command | Description |
| cmake\_minimum\_required() | CMake Version |
| project() | Package name |
| find\_package() | Packages needed for the build |
| add\_message\_files(), add\_service\_files(), add\_action\_files() | Message, service, or action generators |
| generate\_messages() | Invoke message, service, or action generation |

Table 2.2.2: Excerpt of CMakeLists.txt tags

To navigate to the location of a package from the terminal, use:

roscd <package\_name>

Several packages can be grouped together into a stack, which will be explained next. After that, nodes will be explained. Nodes are executables in a package that are used to do computations.

# ROS STACK

ROS Packages that serve common purpose can be further grouped together to form a ROS stack for easier code sharing. An example of ROS Stack is a control stacks which contains packages that provide the necessary functionalities to control the robot. This includes motion planning, joint control, and getting sensor information for feedback. The command-line tool rosstack is used for getting relevant information about ROS Stacks, these commands could be to list the available ROS stacks in a file system or to calculate the dependency tree of the stack [23][98].

# ROS NODE

A node is an executable that performs computations and can communicate with other nodes through publishing or subscribing or providing a service [63]. A node can be written mainly in python or C++, but other languages can used to write a ROS node like Lisp and Java and more. ROS is also language agnostic, meaning that multiple nodes can be written in different languages and still communicate with each other. Each running node should have a unique identifier. Therefore, it is important not initialize multiple nodes with the same name to avoid the deletion of one of the created nodes. This unique naming can be done by setting the anonymous parameter to True to ensure that the node has a unique name as demonstrated below [26]:

rospy.init\_node(“<node\_name>”, anonymous = True)

The command-line tool for displaying information about ROS nodes is rosnode and supports commands like [66]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Command** | **Description** |
| rosnode info <node\_name> | displays node information like publishers, subscribers, and type |
| rosnode kill < node\_name> | kills one or more running nodes by name |
| rosnode list | displays the active nodes |

Table 2.2.3: Excerpt of rosnode command line tool commands.

Nodes can communicate with each other through topics, services, and actions. Single or multiple nodes can be run using a launch file. Each of these concepts will be described in more detail in the following sections.

# LAUNCH FILE

Launch files are XML files with the .launch extension. A launch file can be used to launch multiple nodes at once as well as configure their parameters. The following tags can be used in a launch file[69]:

* <launch>: root tag which encloses all the other tags
* <node>: specifies the node to be run
* <group>: groups multiple nodes
* <arg>: declares an argument
* <include>: includes other launch files
* <rosparam>: sets a parameter using a rosparam file
* <param>: sets a parameter using the parameter server To execute a launch file, the following command outline is used:

roslaunch <package\_name> <launch\_file>

To launch ROS, MoveIt!, RViz for this study, the following commands are used, where the MoveIt! framework and RViz will be described in more details in their respective sections. The command to start the Universal Robots ROS driver requires two arguments, one of which is the robot’s IP address of 192.168.11.100 as can be seen below:

|  |
| --- |
| To start the Universal Robot ROS driver |
| roslaunch ur\_robot\_driver ur3e\_bringup.launch robot\_ip:=192.168.11.100 use\_tool\_communication:=true |

|  |
| --- |
| To start MoveIt! |
| roslaunch ur\_hande\_moveit\_config ur3e\_moveit\_planning\_execution.launch |

|  |
| --- |
| To start Rviz |
| roslaunch ur\_hande\_moveit\_config moveit\_rviz.launch |

# ROS TOPIC

Topics are channels for unidirectional message exchange between nodes. Nodes that want to retrieve data subscribe to a topic, while nodes that generate data publish to a topic [19]. Multiple nodes can publish/subscribe to the same topic and a node can subscribe/publish to multiple topics. The type of the topic is the ROS message it uses. This type needs to be adhered to when publishing data.

The command-line tool rostopic can be used to display information about ROS topics and supports commands such as [93]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Command** | **Description** |
| rostopic echo <topic\_name> | Displays the messages published to a topic |
| rostopic echo <topic\_name/field> | Display a specific field in a message |
| rostopic info <topic\_name> | Displays information about the topic |
| rostopic list | Displays the current topics |
| rostopic pub <topic\_name> <topic\_type>  <data> | Publishes data to a topic |

Table 2.2.4: Excerpt of rostopic command line tool commands.

For information about writing a publisher and subscriber in python, please refer to the ROS Wiki

documentation for “Writing a Simple Publisher and Subscriber (Python)”.

# ROS SERVICE

Services are a synchronous method of communication that use a server and a client for message transfer because the unidirectional way of communication of publisher/subscriber is not always suitable for the request/reply paradigm. When a service is called, the program waits for the response and does not execute anything in that time. A simple illustration of how services work is as follows:




Figure 2.2.4: Flow of communication between the ROS Service server and client [29].

The command line tool rosservice supports helpful commands such as [14]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Command** | **Description** |
| rosservice call <service\_name> [service\_args] | Calls a service provided the arguments |
| rosservice info <service\_name> | Displays information about a service |
| rosservice list | Displays a list of all services |

Table 2.2.5: Excerpt of rosservice command line tool commands.

For more information about writing a service server and client in python please refer to the ROS Wiki

for “Writing a Simple Service and Client (Python)”.

# ROS ACTION

An action is asynchronous client-to-server communication that can be thought of as creating a thread to perform a command alongside main tasks as the system waits for the response. When a goal is sent for execution, feedback messages of the current progress of the execution are sent out until the goal is executed. At the end of the execution, a result message is sent out. A goal can be cancelled or replaced by a new goal during execution. This information can be seen in Figure 2.2.5:




Figure 2.2.5: Shows the structure of a ROS Action and the flow of communication between the server and client[44].

For more information about writing an action server and client in python please refer to the ROS Wiki documentation.

# ROS MESSAGES

Messages used by topics, services, and actions have different structures due to the different nature of each method of communication. The respective extensions are shown in the figure below:




Figure 2.2.6: Different message types and extensions of messages used in ROS.

These messages can have fields and constants of a primitive or a custom datatype. To declare data fields, the following format is used:

field\_type1 field\_name1

While constants are declared as follows:

Constant\_type1 CONSTANT\_NAME1 = constant\_value1

Each of the message types will now be discussed in more detail.

# ROS TOPIC MESSAGES

Topics use a .msg message for their communication and is defined simply as a list of fields and constant [9]. Below is a simple example of a ROS message which has an integer constant, that the name X and value 123, it also has a field named Y, and a string field name FOO:

int32 X=123

int32 Y string FOO

The command-line tool rosmsg displays information about ROS messages and supports commands such as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Command | Description |
| rosmsg info <message\_type > | Displays the fields of the ROS message |
| rosmsg list | Displays a list of all messages |

Table 2.2.6: Excerpt of rosmsg command line tool commands.

# ROS SERVICE MESSAGES

This message type enables the request/response communication. Request is what is taken as an argument for the service, and response is what is returned by the service. The message definition divides each of the request and response definitions with three dashes [67]. Below is a simple example of a service message which takes a string named str\_request as an argument and returns a string named str\_response:

string str\_request

---

string str\_response

The rossrv command line tool is used to display information services and contains functions like:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Command | Description |
| rossrv info <service\_message\_type > | Displays the fields of the ROS service message |
| rossrv list | Displays a list of all service messages |

Table 2.2.7: Excerpt of rossrv command line tool commands.

# ROS ACTION MESSAGES

These message types are used by action servers and action clients. The action message specifications are goal, result, and feedback and are defined in a file with the extension .action with each specification definition separated from the next by three dashes, where:

* + - * + Goal: a task that is sent by the action client to the action server to be executed.
        + Result: upon completion of the goal execution, the result is sent to the action client.
        + Feedback: contains information about the progress of the goal execution

The following is an example of an action message with an int32 goal named order, an int32 array result called sequence and an int32 array feedback called sequence [35].

#goal definition int32 order

---

#result definition int32[] sequence

---

#feedback int32[] sequence

Now that the basic concepts of ROS are explained other sections will explain how motion planning is done with MoveIt! Framework and visualization is done with RViz using the digital description of the robot in the form of URDF and Xacro files.

2.3. MOVEIT! FRAMEWORK

MoveIt! is a framework that does calculation necessary for motion planning, collision checking, grasp generation, as well as kinematic analysis [50]. Visualizing the planning and execution processes can be done with RViz or simulated using Gazebo. The following image describes the architecture of the MoveIt framework, where the relevant concepts to this study like the Move Group node, the MoveIt! Commander python interface along with the inverse kinematics solver and some planner libraries such as OMPL, CHOMP, and STOMP will also be explained.




Figure 2.3.1: Architecture of MoveIt! Framework [12].

The move-group primary node brings together the different components of MoveIt!. The move\_group calls services and actions depending on the user application as well as collects information about transforms and the robot state. The robot representation, kinematic chain definition, and constraints for the MoveIt! package are given as URDF, SRDF, and config files, where:

* + - * + Unified Robot Description Format URDF (URDF) is a digital model of the robot and will be discussed in more detail in its own section.
        + Semantic Robot Description Format (SRDF): can be created using the Moveit! Setup assistant and is used to specify the following information:

Virtual joints: creates a fixed joint that connects the manipulator arm to the world

Passive joints: joints that are not actuated in the robot

Groups: used to group together joints or links that have a common purpose. For this application, there is a group for the manipulator arm and one for the gripper.

Kinematic Chains: a group of links that can be grouped together using joints to represent an entity.

End-effectors: used to add the gripper to the manipulator arm

Self-collisions: used for disabling the collision checking between links that are always in collision or never in collision to save up on the processing time

Robot poses: Used to predefine positions that can be used in planning, for example the joint values for the home position

* + - * + config: A set of files that define the joint limits, as well as visual and physical parameters. These files are automatically generated by MoveIt! setup assistant but can be changed to optimize the parameters according to the application.

In this study, MoveIt! functions will be used in python through the Moveit! commander which will now be explained in more detail.

# MOVEIT! COMMANDER PYTHON INTERFACE

The MoveIt! Commander python interface is a wrapper that includes functions to set target pose, or joint values, create and execute motion plans in the MoveGroupCommander class, as well as attach and detach objects from the robot for collision checking in the PlanningSceneInterface class [48], and get information about the planning frame, joints, and links with the RobotCommander class. To use functions from the previously mentioned libraries in Fluxana Library, an instance of the MoveIt! commander as well as instances of each class needs to be initialized. To initialize the moveit\_commander the following command is used:

moveit\_commander.roscpp\_initialize(sys.argv)

This wrapper is divided into three parts, which are the RobotCommander, PlanningSceneInterface, and MoveGroupCommander:

* + - * MoveGroupCommander: is a library whose functions are used for motion planning and executing move commands and getting the robot’s current joint values or pose as well as functions for a pick and place application. To instantiate a MoveCommanderGroup object to be able to plan and execute move commands, use the following command:

group\_name = “arm”

group = moveit\_commander.MoveGroupCommander(group\_name)

* + - * PlanningSceneInterface: is a library with functions that add an object to be taken into consideration in the collision calculations, as well as get information about those attached objects [74]. To instantiate a Planning\_Scene\_Interface object to be able to update the state of the robot with respect to its “world” use the following command:

scene = moveit\_commander.PlanningSceneInterface()

* + - * RobotCommander: is a library with functions related to getting information about joints and links like their names as well as information about the frame in reference to which the planning is done [73]. To instantiate a Robot\_Commander object to provide kinematics information about the robot use the following command:

robot = moveit\_commander.RobotCommander()

# MOTION PLANNING

An important concept when learning about MoveIt! is motion planning. Motion planning is considering the robot’s constraints when going from a start pose to an end pose without collisions. Prior to planning a read only lock is set on the planning scene to avoid changes happening to it while planning [46]. To do motion planning, Open Motion Planning Library (OMPL) is used in this study, which is a wrapper for Flexible Collision Library (FCL) and Proximity Query Package (PQP), which are libraries for collision detection, distance computation, and tolerance verification [47][51][52]. When moving between targets, OMPL divides the path into a series of discrete times for collision checking rather than continuous collision checking to save up resources. Many OMPL planners, seek having a higher speed of finding the solution path over the quality of the path. This path is then smoothened and processed to get it closer to optimal. The planner used by OMPL in this thesis is Rapidly Exploring Random Tree (RRT) which is an algorithm for path planning problems that aims to incrementally decrease the distance between the tree branches it creates and a chosen point. RRT is used for solving inverse kinematics problems and collision free control. Figure 2.3.2 visually represents how RRT works:




Figure 2.3.2: A visual representation of how RRT creates a tree that aims to decrease the distance between its created branches and an arbitrary point [34].

In addition to OMPL, Covariant Hamiltonian optimization for motion planning (CHOMP) and Stochastic Trajectory Optimization for Motion Planning (STOMP) are other MoveIt! motion planner options. They

will be briefly explained, however, they are not used in this study. CHOMP Planner generates small

trajectories that make the motion smoother and is used for trajectory optimization and collision

avoidance. The planner comes with optimization parameters to adjust the planning time for setting

the maximum time for finding the solution, as well as a parameter for collision clearance for setting

the distance to be considered for collision free trajectories and more. In contrast to OMPL, CHOMP

also checks for collisions instead of using external libraries to do so, however, OMPL is a faster planner for finding the trajectory. CHOMP can be used for post-processing the trajectory created by OMPL [11].

As for STOMP, it is a trajectory optimization planner that produces a noisy trajectory initially, then works on refining the solution found. An advantage for STOMP is that no postprocessing of the created trajectory is needed [72].

# KINEMATICS HANDLING

Even though finding forward kinematics solutions is done in MoveIt! through the RobotState class without using plugins, inverse kinematics solutions need a solver. The RobotState class has information like position, velocity, and acceleration of the robot over time. The kinematic solver used in this study is *trac\_ik\_kinematics*. Where *trac\_ik\_kinematics* solver is a kinematic solver that uses threading to combine two inverse kinematics solving methods for more reliable results. This threading is done due to the limitations the inverse Jacobian method used by other solvers. Inverse Jacobian is a numerical method that is used to calculate inverse kinematics iteratively until the error decreases enough. This method, however, has no guarantee of convergence and does not work well in the presence of joint limits. *trac\_ik\_kinematics* expands on the inverse Jacobian method by using Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) optimization approach to work in the presence of joint limits. SQP is an iterative numerical method for non-linear constraints. Provided in Table 2.3.1 is data about the solving rate and time of different kinematics solvers, where *trac\_ik* is seen to have the best performance [79]. Although the data is not provided for the e-series, it can be safely assumed that the same applies since the trend about the solver’s performance is valid for all the robot models mentioned on the online GitHub repository for the solver [80].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Chain | DOFs | Orocos'  *KDL* solve  rate | Orocos'  *KDL* Avg  Time | *KDL-RR*  solve rate | *KDL-RR*  Avg Time | *TRAC-IK*  solve rate | *TRAC-IK*  Avg Time |
| Universal UR3 | 6 | **17.11%** | 4.18ms | **89.08%** | 0.77ms | **98.60%** | 0.45ms |
| UR5 | 6 | **16.52%** | 4.21ms | **88.58%** | 0.74ms | **99.17%** | 0.37ms |
| UR10 | 6 | **14.90%** | 4.29ms | **88.63%** | 0.74ms | **99.33%** | 0.36ms |

Table 2.3.1: Kinematic solvers solve rate and time for different UR3, UR5, and UR10 [80].

The instructions for setting up the solver will be later mentioned in the Setup for Testing and Validation section in chapter 5.

# TIME PARAMETERIZATION

MoveIt! is mainly a motion planning framework, it does not change the velocity and acceleration directly. Rather it uses time parameterization for velocity and acceleration control [78]. The velocity and acceleration of a joint are set to the default values in the robot descriptions in the joint\_limits.yaml file that is read once upon launching ROS. These values can then be modified by setting them for each joint, or by changing the scaling factor for the velocity and acceleration using

ROS parameters. In the Fluxana Library, the primary way of changing the speed and acceleration is through setting the scale.

2.4. ROS VISUALIZATION (RVIZ)

RViz is used to visualize robot applications to show the robot’s environment from the robot’s perspective, therefore, it is a helpful tool in debugging. RViz uses transforms to calculate the relation between frames and displays them. RViz uses different plugins for different functionalities. Like the Robot Model plugin which displays the visual representation of the robot based on the URDF file, the TF plugin which displays the transformations of the robot frames, and the motion planning plugin which is used for trajectory planning and execution, etc. The robot's movement is restricted to, and cannot exceed its workspace, and the range of motions allowed is based on the planning group chosen. In RViz, there are four types of visualizations [49], which are:

* + - * Robot’s configuration in the planning scene
      * Planned path
      * Green starting state
      * Orange goal state

Each axis in the coordinate system is indicated with a different color as follows:

* + - * Red: x-axis
      * Green: y-axis
      * Blue: z-axis

The mentioned color codes can be seen in Figure 2.4.1:




Figure 2.4.1: Illustration with the different color codes in RViz

To see the path the robot will take, the *Plan* command can be chosen. And to see intermediate steps as the robot moves from start to goal state, the option *Show Trail* can be chosen, where the trail size can be adjusted. This can be helpful in analyzing the path taken by the robot. The *Motion Planning Trajectory Slider* can then be used to rewind through the points taken during the motion planning. Different target can also be set from the Motion Planning tab along with parameter like velocity and

speed scaling, where the plan can be shown in RViz before executing it on the real robot. The mentioned points can be seen in Figure 2.4.2:




Figure 2.4.2: A snapshot from RViz with the Motion Planning plugin elements shown.

An essential concept for using MoveIt! and RViz is the URDF file, which provides a digital description for the robot and will be described in more detail in the next section.

2.5. UNIFIED ROBOT DESCRIPTION FORMAT (URDF)

URDF is an XML based language that is used to describe the robot using nested tags and contains information about the links, joints, and their limits, and more. This URDF file is essential to provide a digital description of the robot’s kinematic chain for ROS applications such as MoveIt! and RVIZ. The units for the quantities used in the URDF files follow the International System of Units. URDF is used for basic robots, and does not allow including files like Xacro does, therefore, Xacro will be used to represent the UR3e in this study due to the robot’s higher complexity. However, the format of a URDF file will be explained in this section. To describe joints and links the <joint> and <link> tags are used with the following sub-elements:

The joint description connects two links, where the child link is one whose pose depends on the parent link. The joint’s origin is defined relative to the parent link reference frame. The joint definition contains the following elements:

* + - * <origin>: transform from the parent link to the child link
      * <parent>: defines the parent link
      * <child>: defines the child link
      * <axis>: the axis of rotation or translation based on the type of joint
      * <limit>: defines the joint upper and lower limits

The joint type needs to be specified and can be as follows [53]:

* + - * fixed: no degrees of freedom are offered
      * continuous: can rotated without joint limits
      * revolute: can rotate to angles from the lower bound to the upper. This joint type will be used to describe UR3e manipulator arm
      * prismatic: moves translationally along an axis.

To describe the robot, information about the manipulator’s visual appearance, collision, and inertia

needs to be provided. The following sub-elements are used for providing this information [54]:

* + - * <visual>: describes the robot’s appearance
        + <origin>: visual element’s reference frame
        + <geometry>: shape of the link
        + <material>: material of the link
      * <collision>: used for collision detection. In some cases, it is the same as in the visual sub- element, but sometimes simpler geometries are used to save computational power.
        + <origin>: collision element reference frame
        + <geometry>: shape of the link for collision checking

The following RViz snapshots show the visual description of the robot on the left and the collision description on the right. As can be seen, collision description uses more primitive shapes to save resources during collision checking. However, due to the complex nature of the UR3e, the following visualizations are created using Xacro files, which will be described in more details in the next section.





Figure 2.5.1: Collision link description (left) uses more primitive shapes than the visual link description (right).

* + - * <inertial>: used to define quantities like the mass and its distribution
        + <origin>: center of mass frame
        + <mass>: mass of the link
        + <inertia>: link’s moment of inertia

The table below lists some relevant UR3e parameters for defining the robot’s digital description:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Mass [kg]** | **Center of Mass** |
| **Link 1** | 1.98 | [0, -0.02, 0] |
| **Link 2** | 3.4445 | [0.13, 0, 0.1157] |
| **Link 3** | 1.437 | [0.05, 0, 0.0238] |
| **Link 4** | 0.871 | [0, 0, 0.01] |
| **Link 5** | 0.805 | [0, 0, 0.01] |
| **Link 6** | 0.261 | [0, 0, -0.02] |

Table 2.5.1: Mass and center of mass values for UR3e links [87].

2.6. XACRO

It is a macro language for XML that enhances the functionality of the URDF by allowing for code reuse and parameterization. Instead of adding the content of the file, the file itself can be included through its name, allowing for a more compact description that is easier to maintain as well as allows reusing files for different models without having to rewrite its contents. XACRO allows for the use of parameters and constants, which then gives the advantage of not having to repeat code [39]. For an excerpt of the used robot description in this study please refer to Appendix B: Xacro Code Sample for UR3e.

3. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

This chapter will break down how the system used in this study is structured to help gain understanding of the functionality of the components and concepts used as well as how they interact.

3.1. SYSTEM STRUCTURE

Since ROS provides full support only for Linux systems, a Linux machine is used for this study. On that machine there is a ROS system installed and set up using the “Ubuntu install of ROS Melodic” ROS documentation. MoveIt! Tutorials and ROS Wiki as well as the GitHub pages for Universal Robots ROS Driver, and those reference by ROS Wiki are important online sources for information and content.

After ROS is setup on the Linux machine, a workspace under the name catkin\_ws is created. The catkin\_ws contains different packages for the different applications, which will be mentioned in the file system section in this chapter. An example of these packages is the fx\_library package itself, which contains functions that communicate with the robot through the Universal Robots ROS Driver over socket connections. The functions in the library use MoveIt!, and rospy functions. Some of these used functions also affect the environment in RViz either by changing the robot’s pose or by adding components to the planning scene for collision checking. To send and receive information from the robot or to control and actuate it, the external control URcaps program needs to be running to set the Linux machine up to allow it to send and receive information from the robot. For more information about how to do this set up, please refer to Appendix D: Universal Robots ROS Driver.

Another way communication with the robot was done in this study was through the polyscope. This was done for debugging purposes to check for discrepancies between the information received from the polyscope and that received from ROS. However, on the long term, the Fluxana Library aims to fully replace the polyscope and build Fluxana’s own API with functionalities needed for their applications. Figure 3.1.1 shows the system architecture used in this study:




Figure 3.1.1: System architecture of the system used in this study [57][104][105][106][107][108][109][110].

3.2. FILE SYSTEM

As mentioned in the ROS chapter, to use ROS, there needs to be a workspace. catkin\_ws is the one used for this study where it contains folders necessary for a workspace like the build, devel and src folders. In addition to that there’s a .git folder that links the local workspace to the online GitHub repository for backup.

Inside the src folder the packages used can be found. A main package is the fx\_library package which has a structure aimed to make the library importable it should have the following directory tree shown in Figure 3.2.1.




Figure 3.2.1: The file structure of the fx\_library package

Where init .py is an empty python module used to mark the directory. This file structure will be discussed in more detail in the test setup section in chapter 4.

The testing is done through the test\_fx\_library package, where the test\_fx\_library.py file contains the unit tests for the Fluxana library functions. The Universal\_Robots\_ROS\_Driver folder contains the launch file for the ROS Driver itself, and the ur3 package contains the launch files for MoveIt! and RViz. The commands to launch these launch files have been already documented in the launch file section in chapter 2.

Figure 3.2.2 gives an overview of the main files and folders used in this study:




Figure 3.2.2: File structure of the catkin\_ws used in the study.

4. FLUXANA LIBRARY

This chapter will discuss the Fluxana Library as described in the requirement specifications along with a guideline of how the functions were implemented. For better organization the functions will be divided into those that have to do with movement and functions that are needed to get the robot running, these will be called Kinematic Functions and General Functions respectively. The chapter will also explain helper functions that were added for convenience and cleaner code and avoiding code repetition. For more information about the ROS Services, Topics, and MoveIt! commands used please refer to Appendix L: Fluxana Library Explanation.

4.1. FUNCTIONS OF FLUXANA LIBRARY

The Fluxana Library functions can be divided into kinematic functions and general functions. Now each of the function groups will be documented in more detail.

# KINEMATIC FUNCTIONS

An overview of the kinematic functions of the Fluxana Library can be found in the table below, where the description of each of the functions will follow.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Page |
| Go to Home Position | 36 |
| Move Along an Axis | 37 |
| Move to Joint Values | 37 |
| Move to a Pose | 37 |
| Move from Start to End Pose | 38 |
| Stop Movement | 38 |

|  |
| --- |
| Go to Home Position |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name *fx\_go\_home(velocity\_factor = 1, acceleration\_factor =* 1) and goes to the predefined home position with the joint values of [0, −𝜋 , 0, −𝜋 , 0,0] radians. The function has two arguments, one specifying the velocity scale and  2 2  the other specifying the acceleration scale as a fraction in the range (0, 1]. The function  implementation uses the Fluxana Library function *fx\_move\_joint(home\_joint\_position, velocity\_factor = 1, acceleration\_factor = 1)* to go to the target joint position and returns a boolean value specifying if the function execution failed or succeeded. |

|  |
| --- |
| Move Along an Axis |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name  𝑓𝑥\_ 𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑑𝑖𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑛𝑐𝑒(𝑑𝑖𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑛𝑐𝑒\_𝑣𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1) and is used to move a distance in meters along the x-, y-, and z- axes given by the 1x3 array argument specifying that distance. The other two parameter specify the velocity and acceleration scale as a fraction in the range (0, 1] as mentioned previously with the go home position function. The function returns a boolean indicating if the move command was executed successfully or not and uses the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1) in  its implementation to move to the target pose. |

|  |
| --- |
| Move to Joint Values |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1) and is used to move the robot to the specified joint values argument in radians. The joint values are given in radians as a 1x6 array. The other two parameter specify the velocity and acceleration scale as a fraction in the range (0, 1] as with the other move commands discussed so far. The function returns a boolean value indicating if the move command was executed successfully or not. The implementation of the function uses two helper functions under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟) and 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟) to set the velocity and acceleration scaling factors. It also uses the following functions from MoveIt! commander:   * 𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑣𝑎𝑙𝑢𝑒𝑠(): used to get the current joint values * 𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑣𝑎𝑙𝑢𝑒\_𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑣𝑎𝑙𝑢𝑒𝑠): used to set the target joint values * 𝑔𝑜(𝑤𝑎𝑖𝑡 = 𝐹𝑎𝑙𝑠𝑒): starts executing the move command asynchronously as specified by the wait = False parameter * 𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝(): used to make sure there is no residual movement |

|  |
| --- |
| Move to a Pose |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1) and is used to move the robot to a specified pose, using either quaternion or Euler angle representation, with the position part of the pose is given in meters. The other two parameter specify the velocity and acceleration scale as a fraction in the range (0, 1] as with the other move commands discussed so far. The function returns a boolean value to indicate if the move command was executed successfully. The implementation of the function uses two helper methods under the names  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟) and  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟).   * 𝑐𝑙𝑒𝑎𝑟\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒\_𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡(𝑡𝑐𝑝): clears target poses before setting the new one * 𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒\_𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑡𝑐𝑝): sets the goal pose * 𝑔𝑜(𝑤𝑎𝑖𝑡 = 𝐹𝑎𝑙𝑠𝑒): starts moving to target pose asynchronously |

|  |
| --- |
| Move from Start to End Pose |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name  𝑓𝑥\_ 𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑟𝑒𝑙𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑣𝑒(𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒, 𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1) and takes two pose arguments. One specifying the starting pose and the other specifying the target pose. Like the function to move to a pose, the angle representation can be either quaternion or Euler angles and the position part is defined in meters. The other two parameter specify the velocity and acceleration scale as a fraction in the range (0, 1] as with the other move commands. The function returns a boolean value specifying the move command was executed successfully and uses the Fluxana Library function  𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟) in its implementation to move to the start and target poses. |

|  |
| --- |
| Stop Movement |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝() and is used to stop the robot from executing a move command if any is being executed. The function returns a boolean value indicating if the robot was successfully stopped and uses helper methods under the names:   * 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑚𝑎𝑥\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛(): gets the current maximum acceleration * 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(): gets the acceleraton scale * 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦(): gets the current velocity   These functions are used to calculate the appropriate time in which the robot will fully stop using the kinematic formula 𝑣𝑓 = 𝑣𝑖 + 𝑎𝑡 where the final velocity is zero and the initial velocity is the current velocity. The equation is then solved for the time variable, and a sleep command is issued to wait until the robot fully stops. |

# GENERAL FUNCTIONS

The following functions are necessary in getting the robot to start up or shut down as well as allow external control by the Linux machine, initialize the robot and more. The general functions are listed in the table below and will be described in this section. Some of the functions use the Dashboard Server response as a return value to the service, these responses can be found in Appendix C: Dashboard Server Commands.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Page |
| Power On | 39 |
| Release Brakes | 39 |
| Power Off | 40 |
| Get Robot Mode | 40 |
| Start External Control | 40 |
| Stop External Control | 41 |
| Initiatialize the Robot | 41 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Get Remote Control Status | 41 |
| Shut Down | 41 |
| Unlock Protective Stop | 42 |

|  |
| --- |
| Power On |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑛() and is used to power on the robot. Upon starting up the robot it is initially in “POWER\_OFF” mode. This mode can also be achieved with the power off function. To start using the robot, it needs to be in “RUNNING” mode, which can be achieved by the brake release function. Powering on the robot is an intermediate step before releasing the robot’s brakes and changes its mode to “IDLE”. The function to power on the robot uses the ROS Service under the name /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/  𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑛 which has the type 𝑠𝑡𝑑𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟. The 𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 field of this service message is used to get the dashboard server response and add it to logs and the 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 field will be used to indicate if the function executed successfully. The function checks for successful execution by using the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒() to see when the robot mode changes to “IDLE”, upon which the function returns a boolean value with the execution status. |

|  |
| --- |
| Release Brakes |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑏𝑟𝑎𝑘𝑒\_𝑟𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑎𝑠𝑒() and is used to release the robot’s brakes upon powering it on. The implementation of the function uses the ROS Service for releasing the brakes under the name /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/  𝑏𝑟𝑎𝑘𝑒\_release. This service has a type of 𝑠𝑡𝑑\_𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟 and contains a String field called  𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 containing the dashboard server response which is added to logs in the function implementation. The other used field is a boolean field named 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 that indicates if the command was sent successfully, similarly with the powering on function. The execution status is returned upon changing of the robot’s mode to “RUNNING”. To continuously check when the robot mode changes, the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒() is used. For more information about how this function is related to the power on and power off functions, please refer to the power on function documentation. |

|  |
| --- |
| Power Off |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑓𝑓() and is used to power off the robot. This means that to use the robot again, one needs to power it on and release the brakes. For the corresponding modes of each of those actions, please refer to the powering on function documentation. It is important to differentiate this function from the shutting down function which shuts down the robot and control box. The function uses the ROS Service to power off the robot under the name /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑓𝑓 which has the type 𝑠𝑡𝑑𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟 like with the release brakes function the 𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 field is used to get the dashboard server response and add it to logs and the 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 field will be used to indicate if the function executed successfully. The difference from the power on and brake release functions  would be that the fx\_get\_robot\_mode() will check that the robot mode changes to “POWER\_OFF”. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Robot Mode |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒() and is used to get the robot’s mode with the most relevant modes being “IDLE”, “POWER\_OFF”, and “RUNNING”. For more information about other modes, please refer to Appendix C: Dashboard Server Command. These modes are used to determine if the robot has been successfully powered on, powered off, or has its brakes released. The function implementation uses the ROS Service  /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒 of the type 𝑢𝑟\_𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠/  𝐺𝑒𝑡𝑅𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡𝑀𝑜𝑑𝑒 to get the robot mode. The service message contains a field named 𝑎𝑛𝑠𝑤𝑒𝑟 which  contains a string with the robot’s mode in the form of "Robotmode: " plus a string containing the  mode. The value returned is only that of the mode without the added "Robotmode: ". |

|  |
| --- |
| Start External Control |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑡\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() and is used to start the 𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙. 𝑢𝑟𝑝 program which specifies that the Linux machine used for this study with the IP address of 192.168.11.1 can be used to control the robot remotely. The function is divided into two parts one that loads the 𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙. 𝑢𝑟𝑝 program using the ROS service /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑙𝑜𝑎𝑑\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚 of type  𝑢𝑟\_𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠/𝐿𝑜𝑎𝑑 to load it. The service message has the field 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 , which is checked to see if the program was loaded and displays the dashboard server message contained in the field 𝑎𝑛𝑠𝑤𝑒𝑟 upon failure. The second part of the function runs the loaded program using the ROS service /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑝𝑙𝑎𝑦 of type 𝑠𝑡𝑑\_𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟, which has a field named 𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 containing the dashboard server response. The content of this field is then added to logs and the boolean field 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 will be returned to indicate if the program has been started. The function implementation uses a helper function under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒() to get whether the robot has a running program. |

|  |
| --- |
| Stop External Control |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() and is used to stop running programs on the robot. It is used for when it is required to stop external control mode. The function implementation used the ROS Service /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/  𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝 of type s𝑡𝑑\_𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟 to stop the program and logs the 𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 field containing the dashboard server response. The function then checks if the program was stopped using the helper function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒( ) and returns if the program was stopped successfully. |

|  |
| --- |
| Initiatialize the Robot |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑖𝑛𝑖𝑡() and is meant to contain the necessary functions needed to prepare the robot for initial operation. This includes powering on the robot using the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑛() function, and releasing its brakes using the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑏𝑟𝑎𝑘𝑒\_𝑟𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑎𝑠𝑒() if not already done. The state of the robot is checked to be in “RUNNING” mode using the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒(). In order to control the robot, the  𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙. 𝑢𝑟𝑝 program needs to be running, so this function starts the external control using the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑡\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙(). This function also adds a box into the planning scene that represents the table on which the robot is mounted on for the purpose of collision checking. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Remote Control Status |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑖𝑠\_𝑖𝑛\_𝑟𝑒𝑚𝑜𝑡𝑒\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() and is used to get whether the robot is in remote control. The function implementation uses the ROS Service /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑖𝑠\_𝑖𝑛\_𝑟𝑒𝑚𝑜𝑡𝑒\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙 of type  𝑢𝑟\_𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠/𝐼𝑠𝐼𝑛𝑅𝑒𝑚𝑜𝑡𝑒𝐶𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙 to get the remote control status. The service message contains a field named 𝑖𝑛\_𝑟𝑒𝑚𝑜𝑡𝑒\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙 which contains a boolean with the answer and is used as the return value of the function. |

|  |
| --- |
| Shut Down |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠ℎ𝑢𝑡𝑑𝑜𝑤𝑛() and is used to shut down the robot and the controller. The function uses the ROS service /  𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑠ℎ𝑢𝑡𝑑𝑜𝑤𝑛 of type 𝑠𝑡𝑑\_𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟 that contains the  𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 field containing the response from the dashboard server. This field is then added to the logs. The message also contains a boolean field 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 indicating if the command was sent successfully. This boolean field is returned by the function. |

|  |
| --- |
| Unlock Protective Stop |
| This function is implemented in the Fluxana Library under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑢𝑛𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑘\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑡𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑖𝑣𝑒\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝() and is used to unlock the robot’s protective stop in case the robot accelerates too quickly from a low velocity to a higher one, if the robot’s end effector has a collision, or if the controller detects a problem [84]. The function implementation uses the ROS Service /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/  𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑢𝑛𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑘\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑡𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑖𝑣𝑒\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝 of type 𝑠𝑡𝑑\_𝑠𝑟𝑣𝑠/𝑇𝑟𝑖𝑔𝑔𝑒𝑟 to unlock the protective stop. The service type contains a string field called 𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒 that returns the dashboard server response and is added to logs. The boolean field 𝑠𝑢𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑠𝑠 returns whether the command was sent, and is retuned by the function. |

4.2. ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS USED IN FLUXANA LIBRARY

The following are helper methods which are not required for the Fluxana Library but are added for convenience and for avoiding repeating code.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Page |
| Call a Service | 42 |
| Subscribe to Topic One Time | 43 |
| Get the Acceleration Scaling Factor | 43 |
| Set Acceleration Scaling Factor | 43 |
| Get Velocity Scaling Factor | 43 |
| Set Velocity Scaling Factor | 43 |
| Get the Maximum Acceleration | 44 |
| Get the Program Status | 44 |
| Get Current Velocity | 44 |

|  |
| --- |
| Call a Service |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑙\_𝑠𝑒𝑟𝑣𝑖𝑐𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑟𝑣𝑖𝑐𝑒\_𝑛𝑎𝑚𝑒, 𝑠𝑟𝑣, 𝑎𝑟𝑔1 =  𝑁𝑜𝑛𝑒, 𝑎𝑟𝑔2 = 𝑁𝑜𝑛𝑒, 𝑎𝑟𝑔3 = 𝑁𝑜𝑛𝑒) and is used to call a ROS service with up to three arguments. The function uses the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑖𝑠\_𝑖𝑛\_𝑟𝑒𝑚𝑜𝑡𝑒\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() to check if the robot is in remote control before calling the ROS service. In the case that remote control mode is on, the service is called, and the response of the service is returned. There is no specific type that the function returns as the response of different services has different types. It is therefore important to see the documentation for the service type to know what the returned quantity is. For this study, the list of used services and their types is in the Appendix L: Fluxana Library Explanation, for more information please refer to that section. |

|  |
| --- |
| Subscribe to Topic One Time |
| This function is implemented under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑢𝑏𝑠𝑐𝑟𝑖𝑏𝑒\_𝑡𝑜𝑝𝑖𝑐\_𝑜𝑛𝑐𝑒(𝑡𝑜𝑝𝑖𝑐\_𝑛𝑎𝑚𝑒, 𝑚𝑠𝑔\_𝑡𝑦𝑝𝑒) and is used to subscribe to a topic and retrieve the published information once before returning to normal program excution. Before subscribing to the topic, the function checks if the robot is in remote control mode using the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑖𝑠\_𝑖𝑛\_𝑟𝑒𝑚𝑜𝑡𝑒\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() and if remote control mode is on, it returns the information returned from calling the 𝑟𝑜𝑠𝑝𝑦 function  𝑟𝑜𝑠𝑝𝑦. 𝑤𝑎𝑖𝑡\_𝑓𝑜𝑟\_𝑚𝑒𝑠𝑠𝑎𝑔𝑒(𝑡𝑜𝑝𝑖𝑐\_𝑛𝑎𝑚𝑒, 𝑚𝑠𝑔\_𝑡𝑦𝑝𝑒, 𝑡𝑖𝑚𝑒𝑜𝑢𝑡 = 𝑁𝑜𝑛𝑒) which is equivalent to subscribing to a topic and receiving the published information one time. Like with the calling the service function, there is no specific datatype that the function returns as different topics have  different types. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get the Acceleration Scaling Factor |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟() and is used to get the value of the ROS parameter 𝑑𝑒𝑓𝑎𝑢𝑙𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔. The returned value is a fraction in the range (0, 1]. |

|  |
| --- |
| Set Acceleration Scaling Factor |
| This function is implemented under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟) and is used to set the value for the ROS parameter 𝑑𝑒𝑓𝑎𝑢𝑙𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟. The allowed values are on the range of (0, 1]. The function returns a boolean indicating if the parameter was changed to the value in the  argument. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Velocity Scaling Factor |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟() and is used to get the ROS parameter 𝑑𝑒𝑓𝑎𝑢𝑙𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 located in the j𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑙𝑖𝑚𝑖𝑡𝑠. 𝑦𝑎𝑚𝑙. The returned value is a fraction in the range (0, 1]. |

|  |
| --- |
| Set Velocity Scaling Factor |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟) and is used to set the value for the ROS parameter 𝑑𝑒𝑓𝑎𝑢𝑙𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑔\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟. The allowed  values are on the range of (0, 1]. The function returns a boolean indicating of the value in the argument was set for the parameter. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get the Maximum Acceleration |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑚𝑎𝑥\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛() and is used to get the ROS parameters for the joints’ maximum accelerations. The returned value is a 1x6 array containing the defined maximum acceleration from the corresponding ROS parameters, for more information about the names of the parameters, please refer to the function implementation Appendix L:  Fluxana Library Explanation. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get the Program Status |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒() . The function implementation uses the ROS service /𝑢𝑟\_ℎ𝑎𝑟𝑑𝑤𝑎𝑟𝑒\_𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑒/𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑/𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒 of the type 𝑢𝑟\_𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠/𝐺𝑒𝑡𝑃𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚𝑆𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒 which contains a string field 𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒 with either “PLAYING” or “STOPPED” based on whether a program is running on the robot as well as a  𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚\_𝑛𝑎𝑚𝑒 field containing what program is being run. The function returns the value for the  service message 𝑢𝑟\_𝑑𝑎𝑠ℎ𝑏𝑜𝑎𝑟𝑑\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠/𝐺𝑒𝑡𝑃𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚𝑆𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Current Velocity |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦() and it uses the topic  /𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒𝑠 which has information about the state of the joints constantly being published to it  including information about the joint’s velocity and position. The topic has the type  𝑠𝑒𝑛𝑠𝑜𝑟\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠/𝐽𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡𝑆𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒, and the returned value from that function is the 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦 field of the message, which is a 1x6 array of double containing the velocity of the robot at the moment the topic is subscribed to. The function uses another helper function under the name  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑢𝑏𝑠𝑐𝑟𝑖𝑏𝑒\_𝑡𝑜𝑝𝑖𝑐\_𝑜𝑛𝑐𝑒(𝑡𝑜𝑝𝑖𝑐\_𝑛𝑎𝑚𝑒, 𝑚𝑠𝑔\_𝑡𝑦𝑝𝑒) to get published information from the topic only once. |

4.3. ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS USED IN TESTING FLUXANA LIBRARY

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Page |
| Get Current Joint Values | 46 |
| Get Current Pose with Quaternion Angles | 46 |
| Get Current Euler Angles | 46 |
| Get Current Pose with Euler Angles | 46 |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Current Joint Values |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑣𝑎𝑙𝑢𝑒𝑠() and is used to return the joint values of the robot at the time the function is being called. This data is returned in the form of a 1x6 array of double containing the values for each of the joint in radians using the  function get\_current\_joint\_values() from the MoveIt! framework. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Current Pose with Quaternion Angles |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑒𝑛𝑑\_𝑒𝑓𝑓𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟\_𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑘 = 𝑡𝑐𝑝) and is used to get the current pose of the specified frame, where by default it is the TCP of the robot. The units for the position are given in meters and the orientation representation is quaternion. The 𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑒𝑛𝑑\_𝑒𝑓𝑓𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟\_𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑘) function from the Moveit! framework is  used to get the value that the function returns, which is an instance of the 𝑔𝑒𝑜𝑚𝑒𝑡𝑟𝑦\_𝑚𝑠𝑔𝑠. 𝑚𝑠𝑔. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Current Euler Angles |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑟𝑝𝑦(𝑒𝑛𝑑\_𝑒𝑓𝑓𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟\_𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑘 = 𝑡𝑐𝑝) is used to get the Euler angles for the specified frame, by default, given as the robot’s TCP. The function 𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑟𝑝𝑦(𝑒𝑛𝑑\_𝑒𝑓𝑓𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟\_𝑙𝑖𝑛𝑘) from the Moveit! Framework is used to get the 1x3 array of double containing the Euler angles. |

|  |
| --- |
| Get Current Pose with Euler Angles |
| This function is implemented under the name 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒\_𝑟𝑝𝑦() and is used to get the current pose of the TCP with the position given in meters and the Euler angle representation. The function uses the helper functions 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒() to get the current pose in quaternion angle representation and 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑟𝑝𝑦() to get the current Euler angles. |

For more information about the Fluxana Library, please refer to Appendix L: Fluxana Library Explanation.

5. TESTING AND VALIDATION

In this chapter, functions will be tested to see if they behave as expected. First the software and hardware setup used for the experiment will be described, then the testing will take place. The testing is done using two methods. One is by logging relevant data for analysis of function performance and the second is by using Unit Testing the functions to make sure the function runs correctly. Firstly, a series of tests will be done initially to check if the URDF representation of the robot corresponds to the actual robot before proceeding. The kinematic functions will then be tested to check if the robot goes to a specified pose with a high precision and accuracy, and that the stop function stops ongoing move commands, as well as test if the functions to set the velocity and acceleration scales work as expected. The general functions will then be tested by making sure that the functions to release brakes, power on, and power off the robot achieve the expected outcome and that external control program is run and stopped correctly. Now, the test setup will be described, then a more in detail description of the tests will follow.

5.1. SETUP FOR TESTING AND VALIDATION

The hardware and software listed below is used in testing the Fluxana Library. Some relevant information about the installation and setup is included in this section or in the corresponding Appendix.

# ROS MELODIC

The ROS Melodic distribution is chosen for this study based on what version is compatible with the Niryo Ned 2 which is used for another study in parallel. ROS Melodic is a ROS 1 version that has Long Term Support (LTS). For instructions on how to install ROS Melodic please visit the ROS WIKI page on “Ubuntu install of ROS Melodic”. Since ROS Melodic supports python2 and not python3, python 2.7.17 is used for coding in this study [111].

# UBUNTU 18.04.6

Ubuntu is the main operating system used with ROS and for the same reason of compatibility with Niryo Ned 2, which uses Ubuntu 18.04 LTS release. The updated version Ubuntu 18.04.6 is used for this study.

# LINUX MACHINE SPECIFICATIONS

At the beginning of the study the Linux Machine used would crash often whenever RViz was run as well as had a very slow speed in general. Therefore, the machine was changed to another one with a more powerful processor which allowed for more comfortable multitasking while using it. The graphics card in the new Linux machine also solved the problem of crashing whenever RViz was used. Another reason the new computer had improved performance when working with RViz is that it has a dedicated Graphics Processing Unit (GPU) NVIDIA GEFORCE, which is a high-performance GPU.

The specifications of the old and new Linux machines are shown below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | Processor: intel Celeron(R) CPU P4600 @2.00GHz x2 Graphics: intel HD Graphics (ILK)  GPU: None  OS Type: 64-bit |
| **New** | Processor: intel core i7-4500U CPU @ 1.80GHz x4 Graphics: Intel HD Graphics 4400 (HSW GT2) GPU: NVIDIA GEFORCE  OS type: 64-bit |

# UNIVERSAL ROBOTS UR3E

The Universal Robot used in this study is the UR3e model and has the IP address 192.168.11.100. The robot comes with a teach pendant that shows the robot’s information and takes commands from the used, which was used for double checking the performance of the library functions during programming. The software version used on that teach pendant is 5.11.5.1010327 (Oct 15 2021).

The UR3e can carry a workload of up to 3kg. It has reach of 500mm and repeatability of +0.03𝑚𝑚. The robot has six revolute joints, meaning that it had six degrees of freedom. Each joint has a defined working range and angular speed as follows, for more information about the robot’s specifications please refer to Appendix A: UR3e Technical Details.

**Joint**

**Working**

**Range**

**Maximum**

**Speed [°/s]**

**Maximum**

**Torque [Nm]**

−

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Base** | +360°  − | +180  − | 56 |
| **Shoulder Elbow Wrist 1**  **Wrist 2**  **Wrist 3** | +360°  − | +180  − | 56 |
| +360°  − | +180  − | 28 |
| +360°  − | +360  − | 12 |
| +360°  − | +360  − | 12 |
| Infinite | +360  − | 12 |

Table 5.1.1: Joint limits for UR3e [83][90].

The cobot comes with two modes of control, local and remote. Remote control is used for the application since not all functions in the Fluxana Library can be executed in local control mode. These functions include but are not limited to, releasing the brakes, powering the robot on, running, and stopping programs. As a safety feature, Universal Robots only allow switching to remote control through the teach pendant, therefore, the robot will be initially put on remote control before using the library functions. The following image from the robot specifications shows the limitations of each mode of control.




Figure 5.1.2: Local and remote control mode limitations [85].

To use ROS with the robot, Universal Robots ROS Driver needs to be installed. For instructions on how to set it up, please refer to Appendix D Universal Robots ROS Driver. Installing the driver automatically sets up a MoveIt! package and RViz. This automatic setup, however, does not include the gripper. Therefore, a manual setup is done for this study to consider the gripper in the collision checking. For more information please refer to Appendix E: MoveIt! Setup Assistant.

# MOVEIT! FRAMEWORK

Setting up MoveIt! is essential for the library as it serves as a basis for the kinematic functions in the library. To set up the package for the UR3e, please refer to Appendix E: MoveIt! Setup Assistant. Some of the configuration files like joint\_limit.yaml and parameters like tolerance and kinematic solver specifications used in MoveIt! will be explored in some of the tests to see if the performance can be enhanced.

# SETTING UP THE INVERSE KINEMATICS SOLVER

To install trac\_ik inverse kinematic solver use the following command in the Linux terminal [79]:

sudo apt-get install ros-melodic-trac-ik-kinematics-plugin

* + - * In the kinematics.yaml file update the kinematic solver parameter

kinematics\_solver: trac\_ik\_kinematics\_plugin/TRAC\_IKKinematicsPlugin

The Kinematic solver parameters for the resolution and timeout are set by default to 0.005, however, testing will be done to see if more optimal values can be used to better suit the application.

# SETTING UP FLUXANA LIBRARY AS A PYTHON MODULE

To test the code, a test package under the name test\_fx\_library will import the module fx\_library\_node from the fx\_library package and run the tests on it. To achieve this the fx\_library package should have the structure discussed in the File System Section in chapter 3. For the contents of the setup.py file and required changes for the package.xml and CMakeLists.txt in both the library and test packages refer to ros.org documentation for “Configuring rostest”.

Now that the test set up has been explained, next will be explained how in this study the URDF was matched with the actual robot to get correct results for move commands in ROS, as well as how adding a virtual table to the planning scene for collision avoidance is done.

5.2. PREPARATION FOR TESTING AND VALIDATION

An issue that was faced during executing trajectories was that the robot would have a time out during execution of given poses and joint values and an error would be issued mid execution. This was due to a timeout set for move command execution. This allowed goal duration margin was set to 0.5s, so it was changed to 60s to allow for more time to execute the command. The parameter mentioned can be seen below:

|  |
| --- |
| **trajectory\_execution.launch.xml** |
| <launch>  **…**  <param name="trajectory\_execution/allowed\_goal\_duration\_margin" value="60"/>  **...**  </launch> |

Now that move commands can be executed, it is tested if they are executed correctly in the next section.

# TESTING GOING TO A POSE

Another error occurred whenever a pose was given to MoveIt! to execute, where the robot would go to a different pose rather than the one corresponding to the coordinate system used in this study as described in the Kinematic Chain section in chapter 2. It was made clear that there is a discrepancy between the actual robot and the URDF or the representation of the orientation. To confirm that both models are not equivalent, the frame for the links was retrieved using the fx\_get\_current\_pose(end\_effector\_link), where the end-effector link is chosen arbitrarily as “wrist\_2\_link” for validation purposes. The following approximated values were received:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Link | Position | Orientation in Quaternion | Orientation in Euler |
| "wrist\_2\_link" | x: 0.005992  y: 0.131062  z: 0.693904 | x: -0.006164  y: 0.0061952  z: -0.703950  w: 0.710195 | x: -0.01758393  y: 0.0001210  z: -1.561930 |

Using Rviz to visualize the “wrist\_2\_link” frames from the TF tab, the following figure is shown:




Figure 5.2.1: Wrist 2 frame in RViz

The wrist\_2\_link frame is created in the polyscope, and the point created has x- and y- axes that are in the opposite direction to the figure in RViz and does not overlap with the robot. It is important to note that there is a second point displayed by default for the TCP in the figure below, however, it is not needed in this analysis:




Figure 5.2.2: Shows the wrist\_2\_link pose value from ROS on the polyscope for debugging purposes.

To test how the coordinates do not correspond, the following test pose is taken at random:

|  |
| --- |
| **Test Pose using Rotation Vector Angles** |
| **[-0.32612, -0.25633, 0.64783, 1.545, -0.647 -0.846]** |

Since the polyscope uses rotation vector orientation representation, the orientation in this pose will be converted to Euler representation:

|  |
| --- |
| **Test Pose using Euler Angles** |
| **[-0.32612, -0.25633, 0.64783, 1.611, 0.151, -0.945]** |

This pose corresponds to the following robot configuration, where the negative y-axis can be seen to come out of the page and the negative x-axis is towards the left, and positive z-axis pointing upwards.




Figure 5.2.3: The robot at pose [-0.32612, -0.25633, 0.64783, 1.611, 0.151, -0.945]

Using MoveIt! to get the current pose yields:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **position** | **Quaternion orientation** | Orientation in Euler |
| x: 0.325637556736  y: 0.258305620703  z: 0.648814494734 | x: 0.260516127664  y: 0.667241106324  z: 0.590550546134  w: 0.371713203586 | X: 1.5974348857895226  Y: 0.1894805581810313  Z: 2.21259294909246 |

Which shows already that the x- and the y- axes have opposite signs than expected. There is also a discrepancy between the angles displayed on the robot and the Euler equivalent of the angles from

movement. Reasons for the problem can be either a different orientation of the frames in the URDF, but another possible reason could be that the polyscope uses Euler angle in a different combination than ROS. And since rotations are not commutative this leads to results that do not match. It is therefore important to locate the source of this error.

Universal Robots uses Euler angles in configuration RzRyRx, however, to check that maybe another combination yields the quaternion angle of [0.260516127664, 0.667241106324 , 0.590550546134, 0.371713203586], the Euler angles [1.611, 0.151, -0.945] will be converted to quaternion using the transformations.quaternion\_from\_euler function that takes an argument specifying the Euler angle and another with the rotation combination. The following table shows the resulting quaternion angle for the different combinations used:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Euler Combination** | **Quaternion** |
| Rzyx | [-0.36281929, -0.28075481, 0.6641118 , 0.59033416] |
| Ryxz | [-0.28075481, 0.6641118 , -0.36281929, 0.59033416] |
| Rxzy | [ 0.6641118 , -0.36281929, -0.28075481, 0.59033416] |
| Rxyz | [ 0.61654967, 0.37381044, -0.26594521, 0.63984795] |
| Ryzx | [-0.26594521, 0.61654967, 0.37381044, 0.63984795] |
| Rzxy | [ 0.37381044, -0.26594521, 0.61654967, 0.63984795] |

Table 5.2.1: Quaternion results for different Euler combinations of angles [1.611, 0.151, -0.945].

Although all conversions lead to values that are reasonably close to the given quaternion representation, the order is not correct. Therefore, the Euler angle configuration is not the problem. Another possible problem is incorrect in the URDF representation of the real robot.

Looking at the robot in RViz with all joint values of [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] and corresponding pose of [-0.45658,

-0.36934, 0.06209, 1.547, 1.514, -0.033], to use the DH parameters table from the DH parameters section in chapter 2. From the following figure, it can be seen that some frames have different orientations than the one specified by the kinematic chain description for DH parameters:




Figure 5.2.4: The transforms of the robot frames at joint angles [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] in RViz

The following changes were made to the ur\_macro.xacro file used for the MoveIt! package to get the digital representation of the robot to match the real robot. These changes were done by analyzing the kinematic chain from the base to the tool tip and making changes in the parameters by debugging with RViz, the change made were as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <link name="${prefix}upper\_arm\_link"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="0 0 ${shoulder\_offset}" rpy="${pi/2} 0 ${-pi/2}"/> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="0 0 ${shoulder\_offset}" rpy="${-pi / 2} ${pi} ${pi / 2}"/> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <link name="${prefix}wrist\_1\_link"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="0 0 ${wrist\_1\_visual\_offset}" rpy="${pi/2} 0 0"/> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="0 ${wrist\_1\_visual\_offset} 0" rpy="0 0 0"/> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <link name="${prefix}wrist\_2\_link"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="0 0 ${wrist\_2\_visual\_offset}" rpy="0 0 0"/> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="0 ${-wrist\_2\_visual\_offset} 0" rpy="${pi / 2} 0 0"/> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <joint name="${prefix}base\_link-base\_link\_inertia" type="fixed"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="0 0 0" rpy="0 0 ${pi}" /> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="0 0 0" rpy="0 0 0" /> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <joint name="${prefix}shoulder\_pan\_joint" type="revolute"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="${shoulder\_x} ${shoulder\_y} ${shoulder\_z}" rpy="${shoulder\_roll}  ${shoulder\_pitch} ${shoulder\_yaw}" />  <axis xyz="0 0 1" /> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="${shoulder\_x} ${shoulder\_y} ${shoulder\_z}" rpy="${shoulder\_roll + pi / 2} ${shoulder\_pitch} ${shoulder\_yaw}" />  <axis xyz="0 1 0" /> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <joint name="${prefix}shoulder\_lift\_joint" type="revolute"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="${upper\_arm\_x} ${upper\_arm\_y} ${upper\_arm\_z}" rpy="${upper\_arm\_roll} ${upper\_arm\_pitch} ${upper\_arm\_yaw}" /> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="${upper\_arm\_x} ${upper\_arm\_y} ${upper\_arm\_z}" rpy="${upper\_arm\_roll + 3 \* pi / 2} ${upper\_arm\_pitch} ${upper\_arm\_yaw}" /> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <joint name="${prefix}wrist\_1\_joint" type="revolute"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz="${wrist\_1\_x} ${wrist\_1\_y} ${wrist\_1\_z}" rpy="${wrist\_1\_roll}  ${wrist\_1\_pitch} ${wrist\_1\_yaw}" />  <axis xyz="0 0 1" /> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="${wrist\_1\_x} ${wrist\_1\_y} ${wrist\_1\_z}" rpy="${wrist\_1\_roll + pi  / 2} ${wrist\_1\_pitch} ${wrist\_1\_yaw}" />  <axis xyz="0 1 0" /> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <joint name="${prefix}wrist\_2\_joint" type="revolute"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz= "${wrist\_2\_x} ${wrist\_2\_y} ${wrist\_2\_z}" rpy="${wrist\_2\_roll }  ${wrist\_2\_pitch} ${wrist\_2\_yaw}" />  <axis xyz="0 0 1" /> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="0 0 0.08535" rpy="${wrist\_2\_roll + pi} ${wrist\_2\_pitch}  ${wrist\_2\_yaw}" />  <axis xyz="0 -1 0" /> |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tag** | <joint name="${prefix}wrist\_3\_joint" type="revolute"> |
| **Old** | <origin xyz= "${wrist\_3\_x} ${wrist\_3\_y} ${wrist\_3\_z}" rpy="${wrist\_3\_roll} ${wrist\_3\_pitch} ${wrist\_3\_yaw}" /> |
| **New** | <origin xyz="${wrist\_3\_x} 0 0.0921" rpy="${wrist\_3\_roll + pi / 2}  ${wrist\_3\_pitch} ${wrist\_3\_yaw}" /> |

The changes in the axis of rotations were made since changing the orientation of the frame meant that the axis around which the joint revolved needs to be redefined. For example, trying to rotate the base link in the positive direction should cause circular motion around the z-axis of the world or the

blue extended axis in the following figure. Doing the following rotation without making the changes, however, leads to the incorrect results as shown below.




Figure 5.2.5: Shows incorrect visual representation in RViz for rotating the base link in the positive direction

This meant that the rotation axis for the “shoulder\_pan\_joint” should be changed from being around the z-axis to the y-axis. This was checked for all joints by using the polyscope to move the joint in the positive direction and seeing the RViz visualization corresponded to the changes made. After the changes in the robot’s digital description, the frames have the same position and orientation as per the Universal Robots DH parameters documentation as follows:




Figure 5.2.6: Transforms of the robot after updating the Xacro file

Now getting the current pose of the robot yields the following quaternion pose:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **position** | **Quaternion orientation** | **Euler orientation** |
| x: -0.456486501867  y: -0.371248955154  z: 0.063223457471 | x: 0.506123336572  y: 0.493817479342  z: -0.495291677081  w: 0.504648015837 | x: 1.5723022851717363  y: 1.5491269558547986  z: -0.0014243021229880636 |

This is already closer to [-0.45658, -0.36934, 0.06209, 1.547, 1.514, -0.033] since all signs are correct, although the precision is not very high. This is because the TCP is manually calibrated on the polyscope, but the values do not correspond with the one automatically set in the robot model.

MATLAB is used to create two transforms, one for the TCP of the real robot’s TCP and one for the TCP from ROS. To set the position of the real robot’s TCP, calibration is done until the position of both transforms relative to each other is zero, meaning that the TCP defined by the polyscope and that in ROS overlap. To set the orientation of the polyscope TCP, it is assumed to have zero angle rotations in the x- y- and y- axes to get the difference in rotations relative to the TCP in ROS. The rotation matrix in the resulting transformation matrix is then converted to Euler representation of the angle and the value is updated in TCP settings in the polyscope. This is done to have the retrieved data from ROS about the robot correspond to the polyscope with high accuracy to a have a point of reference for checking data.

The following TCP values use meters for the position and radians for the orientation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old TCP** | [0.00012, 0.00125, 0.1459, 0.0068, -0.0084, -1.5316] |
| **New TCP** | [-0.00025, 0.00245, 0.14789, 0, 0, -1.5708] |

To test if the set TCP corresponds to other robot poses, comparison between the pose given by ROS and polyscope are compared for a random pose.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ROS Pose** | [-0.39332, -0.11448, 0.707113, 0.599, -0.853, -0.425] |
| **Polyscope Pose** | [-0.39622, -0.11298, 0.70532, 0.600, -0.854, -0.431] |

Even though the repeatability of the ur3e is +0.03𝑚𝑚 as mentioned in Appendix A: UR3e Technical Details, the difference between ROS pose and the Polyscope pose is in the range of mm. To make up for this, further calibration of the TCP is done to get the translation part of the TCP to be more accurate. The resulting translation part of the transformation matrix is as follows: [-0.00295, - 0.000025, 0.00177]

−

It can be seen that the TCP values are not correct starting from the third decimal place, but trying to make these changes to the TCP on the polyscope result in the following message:

High precision values will be rounded to two decimal places

It is therefore a robot limitation that the polyscope position and ROS position cannot be the same. To overcome this, an external coordinate system drawn on the table on which the robot is mounted will be used for reference.

To verify if the robot is calibrated correctly, an arbitrary start pose will be chosen. The robot will then be moved 0.025 m in each the x- and the y-axis for multiple iterations to see if the error accumulates or it moves in the wrong direction. The two following python code snippets are used for this purpose, where the test\_pose is a geometry\_msgs/Pose msg with initial pose corresponding to

[-0.22747267772053364, -0.12856574740041, 0.02103415792995783, -0.7078271936535141, -

0.7063853803836077, -0.00013022500169780916, 0.0005842485304991218]:

For moving in the negative x-direction use:

**test\_pose.position.x -= 0.025**

And for moving in the positive y-direction use:

**test\_pose.position.y += 0.025**

The logging is done for each of the poses the robot is supposed to reach, meaning the test\_pose and the pose the robot actually goes to using the fx\_get\_current\_pose() function. This data will then be used for analysis of the difference between the goal pose and the actual pose and calculate the error. Each square on the grid of the robot’s coordinate system represents 0.025m, hence the choice of distance to move along the axis for the test. The start pose is as follows:




Figure 5.2.7: Robot at the starting pose for the experiment

A mark was made on the coordinate system with each movement in the negative x-direction as follows:




Figure 5.2.8: Markings were made for where the robot stopped after each iteration of the move command along the negative x-axis

The TCP was then moved in 0.025m steps in the positive y-direction to look as follows:




Figure 5.2.9: Markings were made for where the robot stopped after each iteration of the move command along the positive y-axis

The following figure below shows a closer look of the stops the robot made after each iteration of the test move command both along the negative x-axis and the positive y-axis, For more information about the logged data please refer to Appendix F: Testing Linear Movement:




Figure 5.2.10: A close look into the stops made by the robot in both directions for the test move commands

The repeatability of the UR3e is +0.03𝑚𝑚 or +3.0x 10-5 m. To test if the difference between the test

− −

and actual points exceeds this resolution the maximum and mean error for the recorded positions from the last experiment were calculated. The maximum error for the recorded poses was recorded to be 9 x 10-5 m for the movements along the negative x-axis and 8 x 10-5 m along the y-axis test movements. These values are higher than the specified UR3e resolution, so further experiments will be done to improve that error. The mean error is calculated by taking the average of the error of the move commands. The calculated errors are as follows:

Moving in the negative x-direction:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |Δx| | |Δy| | |Δz| |
| Maximum Error | 8e-05 | 8e-05 | 9e-05 |
| Minimum Error | 2e-05 | 1e-05 | 0 |
| Mean Error | 5.75e-05 | 4.875e-05 | 4.75e-05 |

Table 5.2.2: Move command errors in x-direction for default MoveIt! and inverse kinematics solver parameter values.

Moving in the positive y-direction :

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |Δx| | |Δy| | |Δz| |
| Maximum Error | 6e-05 | 8e-05 | 8e-05 |
| Minimum Error | 1e-05 | 1e-05 | 2e-05 |
| Mean Error | 3.75e-05 | 4.875e-05 | 5.25e-05 |

Table 5.2.3: Move command errors in y-direction for default MoveIt! and inverse kinematics solver parameter values.

As can be seen all the mean errors exceed the defined UR3e resolution. An investigation will be done to see if these errors can be decreased by changing MoveIt! tolerances or inverse kinematics solver parameters in the next section. But before that, it is important to address the problem that the gripper collided with the table during one of the move commands from the previous experiment. It is therefore important to look for a solution to consider the table in the collision avoidance.

# ADDING A VIRTUAL TABLE

When executing the command to move 2.5cm in the x- and y- directions from the previous test, it occurred that the gripper collided with the table. To avoid such collisions with the table, the planning Scene interface can be used to add a box representing the table for collision checking calculations.

The following code is used for this purpose:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. pose\_ref = geometry\_msgs.msg.PoseStamped() 2. pose\_ref.header.frame\_id = robot.get\_planning\_frame() 3. 3. pose\_ref.pose.position.x = -0.075 4. pose\_ref.pose.position.y = -0.065 5. pose\_ref.pose.position.z = -0.02 6. pose\_ref.pose.orientation.x = 0 7. pose\_ref.pose.orientation.y = 0 8. pose\_ref.pose.orientation.z = 0 9. pose\_ref.pose.orientation.w = 0 10. scene.add\_box("table", pose\_ref, (1.15, 0.73, 0.04)) |
| **Explanation:**  The frame of the table is adjusted to make up for the fact that the robot does not lie exactly in the middle of the table. Since the table is flat, the orientation is left at zero. The virtual table is then added into the planning scene under the name “table” and the dimensions of 1.15m x 0.73m x 0.04m |

As can be seen, now the manipulator target pose is shown in red when there are collisions caused by the requested movement, and the RViz does not give the option to execute the command.




Figure 5.2.11: RViz shows a goal pose that would cause a collision in red

Since the URDF representation and the real robot now match and the robot goes to the target pose, analysis on other aspects of the robot’s and the library’s performance will be tested in the following sections.

5.3. TESTING AND ANALYSIS

The following part of the testing will use logging and analyzing the logged data to see how the Fluxana Library functions perform by changing different parameters like those of the kinematic solver and MoveIt! as well as configuration parameters like the velocity and acceleration in the joint\_limits.yaml file, and to test if changing these parameters improves the precision, accuracy and overall behaviour of the robot during the function execution.

# 5.3.1. TESTING MOVEIT! TOLERANCE

A given problem is that error between the target pose and the robot’s actual pose is bigger than the given precision of UR3e. Since the UR3e precision is given in the technical specifications as +0.03𝑚𝑚. To investigate if this error can be decreased by changing the tolerance used by MoveIt!, the following MoveIt! commander command get\_goal\_position\_tolerance() is used to get the current tolerance used. The returned value is the radius of the sphere around the goal pose, where the robot would be considered as having reached its target pose. The returned default value in the study is 0.0001m or

0.1 mm which is higher than the specified UR3e precision. To see if the increased error is from tolerancing in Moveit!, going to a target position from a starting position will be done twice, once with the current tolerance and another with a lower tolerance as shown in the next two tables.

−

Firstly the going to the test position will be done using the goal position tolerance of 0.0001 which is the value set by default:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Goal Position Tolerance | 0.0001 |  |  |
|  | X | Y | Z |
| Test Position | -0.25254188644127 | -0.1285600721662 | 0.02111196684424 |
| Actual Position | -0.25253644021991 | -0.1284817769677 | 0.02104734837189 |
| Difference | 5.446221362e-06 | 7.829519849e-05 | 6.461847235e-05 |

Table 5.3.1: Move command errors for goal\_position\_tolerance = 0.0001

The second resolution 0.00006 is arbitrarily chosen to be higher than the universal robot’s precision while considering possible errors in the inverse kinematics calculations. This is to avoid overstepping the specified hardware limitations of the robot and cause unwanted behavior like oscillating between two poses in effort to reach the wanted precision which cannot be reached. Now going to the test pose will be done using the tolerance of 0.00006:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Goal position tolerance | 0.00006 |  |  |
|  | X | Y | Z |
| **Test Position** | -0.2524913981158 | -0.1285199482220 | 0.0210572534056 |
| **Actual Position** | -0.252502514077 | -0.128476577519 | 0.0210264562451 |
| **Difference** | 1.1115961133e-05 | 4.33707030908e-05 | 3.0797160562e-05 |

Table 5.3.2: Move command errors for goal\_position\_tolerance = 0.00006

As can be seen the maximum error decreased from 7.829 x10-5 m for the tolerance of 0.0001 to

4.337 x10-5 m for the tolerance of 0.00006.

To test if the accuracy of the robot has improved, some iterations of move commands are done and the difference between the expected and the actual positions are recorded, and the average of those errors is calculated as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **x-coordinates** | **y-coordinates** | **z-coordinates** |
| **Average Error** | 1.596 x 10-5 m | 1.729 x10-5 m | 3.383 x 10-5 m |

As can be seen the average error for the sample points has decreased along the x-, y-, and z- coordinates in comparison to using the tolerance value 0.0001. For more information on the logged data please refer to the Appendix H: Testing Accuracy for 0.00006 Tolerance.

Trying to make the tolerance too much lower than 0.00006 leads to unpredictable behavior, which could be due to the hardware limitation of the robot. An example of this unpredictable behavior was the robot base link making a full rotation to reach the target instead of moving linearly the 2.5 cm in the negative x-axis direction.

# 5.3.1. TESTING KINEMATIC SOLVER RESOLUTION

Another source of the error could be the inverse kinematic solver itself. To test if that is the case the parameters for the solver in MoveIt! package will be altered to test if the results have less error. Setting the parameters will be a trade-off between resolution and time, but since accurate positioning in this pick and place application is more valuable than time taken to solve inverse kinematics, the search resolution can be increased to test if the performance improves. The original parameters are as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **kinematics.yaml** |
| 1. arm: 2. kinematics\_solver: trac\_ik\_kinematics\_plugin/TRAC\_IKKinematicsPlugin 3. kinematics\_solver\_search\_resolution: 0.005 4. kinematics\_solver\_timeout: 0.005 |

The kinematics\_solver\_search\_resolution parameter will be set to 0.001 in the kinematics.yaml file for testing as can be seen below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | kinematics\_solver\_search\_resolution: 0.005 |
| **New** | kinematics\_solver\_search\_resolution: 0.001 |

Some iterations of move commands are done and the difference between the expected and the actual positions are recorded to test if the performance was enhanced by changing the inverse kinematics solver resolution parameter. The resulting average error is as follows, where, on average, the errors are below the mentioned UR3e specifications.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **x-coordinates** | **y-coordinates** | **z-coordinates** |
| **Average Error** | 1.761 x 10-5 m | 2.301 x10-5 m | 1.697 x 10-5 m |

For more details about the logged data for the Appendix I: Testing Accuracy for

𝑘𝑖𝑛𝑒𝑚𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑐𝑠\_𝑠𝑜𝑙𝑣𝑒𝑟\_𝑠𝑒𝑎𝑟𝑐ℎ\_𝑟𝑒𝑠𝑜𝑙𝑢𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛 = 0.001.

Comparing the maximum error from the iteration with the 𝑖𝑘\_𝑠𝑜𝑙𝑣𝑒𝑟\_𝑝𝑟𝑒𝑐𝑖𝑠𝑖𝑜𝑛 = 0.005 of 7.05309804e-05m with the error from the iteration with 𝑖𝑘\_𝑠𝑜𝑙𝑣𝑒𝑟 𝑝𝑟𝑒𝑐𝑖𝑠𝑖𝑜𝑛 = 0.001 of 4.16325099e-05 the error has decreased just by increasing the solver’s resolution. These values have been retrieved from Appendix H and Appendix I respectively. Trying to make the resolution to 0.0005 and 0.0001 causes unpredictable behavior, therefore the resolution will be left at 0.001.

# 5.3.3. TESTING SETTING VELOCITY USING JOINT\_LIMITS.YAML

According to MoveIt! time parametrization documentation, the default velocity used is the one defined in the joint\_limit.yaml file. Since the joints are revolute the units are rad/s for the velocity. To

𝜋

test how setting the velocity works, the robot’s base will be moved

2

radians in joint space from the


home position. The time will be recorded before and after executing the commands to calculate the angular velocity. The data will be recorded for different max velocities. Since the base link is the only

one moving, only the angular velocity parameter for shoulder\_pan\_joint will be changed in the joint\_limits.yaml file. The resulting data is as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Angular Velocity (rad/s) | Execution Time(s) | Average Angular velocity(rad/s) |
| 1.5 | 3.634 | 0.8645 |
| 1 | 4.186 | 0.7505 |
| 0.5 | 6.339 | 0.4956 |
| 0.3 | 10.533 | 0.2983 |
| 0.1 | 31.489 | 0.0998 |

Table 5.3.3: Table of the calculated average angular velocities in comparison to the expected angular velocity

As can be seen, the error between the expected velocity and the actual one increases for higher velocity. This is, however, due to not considering the acceleration and the deceleration that takes place. Also, since the acceleration limits are off by default in the file, the actual angular velocity cannot be calculated using kinematic formulas. The following figure shows the speed profile for a UR3e motion as mentioned in the user manual, where the robot needs to accelerate until it reaches the maximum velocity then it starts decelerating again. It may be that the robot takes a long time to accelerate to the max velocity relative to the distance travelled, which is why the average velocity and the maximum velocity have such a big difference:



5.3.1: Speed profile for a UR3e motion [85].

However, the calculated average angular velocity and the actual value become closer as the value gets smaller, this is because the robot takes less time to accelerate and decelerate relative to the distance travelled, therefore, average velocity and actual velocity do not vary greatly. It is concluded that the angular velocities for each of the joints can be set through the joint\_limits.yaml file. One way to set joint velocities is by setting the velocity parameter for each joint using the ROS parameter server. Another way is to set the velocity by using a scaling factor between (0, 1]. This can be done using the Move Group Commander functions, through the MotionPlanning tab in RViz or by using ROS’ parameter server.

For more control, however, it should be allowed to change the acceleration for the move commands. To allow setting the acceleration, the parameter ℎ𝑎𝑠\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑙𝑖𝑚𝑖𝑡𝑠 is set to true instead of its default value of false.

The following changes were made to the 𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑙𝑖𝑚𝑖𝑡𝑠. 𝑦𝑎𝑚𝑙 file:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | elbow\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: false max\_acceleration: 0 |
| **New** | elbow\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: true max\_acceleration: 0.7 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | shoulder\_lift\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: false  max\_acceleration: 0 |
| **New** | shoulder\_lift\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: true  max\_acceleration: 0.7 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | shoulder\_pan\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: false max\_acceleration: 0 |
| **New** | shoulder\_pan\_joint:  has\_acceleration\_limits: true max\_acceleration: 0.7 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | wrist\_1\_joint:  has\_acceleration\_limits: false max\_acceleration: 0 |
| **New** | wrist\_1\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: true  max\_acceleration: 1.4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | wrist\_2\_joint:  has\_acceleration\_limits: false max\_acceleration: 0 |
| **New** | wrist\_2\_joint:  has\_acceleration\_limits: true max\_acceleration: 1.4 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | wrist\_3\_joint:  has\_acceleration\_limits: false max\_acceleration: 0 |
| **New** | wrist\_3\_joint: has\_acceleration\_limits: true  max\_acceleration: 1.4 |

Where the 1.4rad /s2 is chosen based on the default angular acceleration value in the polyscope for joint space move commands. Since the wrist1, wrist2, and wrist3 joints have the same velocity limits, and the base, shoulder, and elbow joints have half that limit, the same is mimicked for acceleration. The updated joint\_limits.yaml file can be found in Appendix G: Joint Limits for reference.

# 5.3.5. TESTING PRECISION

The following experiment is to test the precision with which the robot goes to a specific pose. Here the robot will go from a starting pose to a target pose for 10 iterations, where the current pose of the TCP will be recorded and compared to the original target. This comparison will then be used for analysis. Below are the starting and target poses:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Starting Pose | [-0.227472677721, -0.1285657474, 0.02103415793, -0.707827193654, -0.706385380384, -  0.00013022500169, 0.000584248530499] |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Target Pose | [-0.22747267772, -0.2785657474, 0.0210341579, -0.707827193654, -0.706385380384, -  0.000130225001698, 0.000584248530499] |

Ten iterations are done and the robot’s pose at the target is recorded. These poses are approximated to four decimal places for visibility and the error is calculated by getting the absolute of the difference between the actual pose component and the expected one.

The maximum and mean errors for each component are then calculated and displayed as follows:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Errors in poses: |  | | | | | | |
|  | *position.x* | *position.y* | *position.z* | *orient.x* | *orient.y* | *orient.z* | *orient.w* |
| Iteration 1: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 |
| Iteration 2: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 0.0000 |
| Iteration 3: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Iteration 4: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| Iteration 5: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0005 |
| Iteration 6: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.4155 | 1.4130 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 |
| Iteration 7: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 |
| Iteration 8: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 |
| Iteration 9: | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| Iteration 10: | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Maximum error: |  | | | | | |
| *position.x* | *position.y* | *position.z* | *orient.x* | *orient.y* | *orient.z* | *orient.w* |
| 0.0000 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 1.4155 | 1.4130 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| Mean error: | | | | | | |
| *position.x* | *position.y* | *position.z* | *orient.x* | *orient.y* | *orient.z* | *orient.w* |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1417 | 0.1414 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 |

Table 5.3.4: Calculated errors between target pose and actual pose for kinematics\_solver\_timeout=0.005

For more information about the logged data refer to Appendix J: Testing Precision. It can be seen that the orientation components x and y have a big error in Iteration 6. Next It will be investigated if this can be improved by changing the timeout parameter of the inverse kinematics solver since the resolution of the solver was changed in previous tests without considering the consequent change in planning time. To test this, the kinematics\_solver\_timeout parameter will be changed, and the experiment will be repeated. Attached below are the change made to the kinematics.yaml file

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Old** | kinematics\_solver\_timeout: 0.005 |
| **New** | kinematics\_solver\_timeout: 0.01 |

The same experiment is repeated and the maximum and mean errors for each component is then calculated and displayed as follows:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Errors in poses:* | *position.x* | *position.y* | *position.z* | *orient.x* | *orient.y* | *orient.z* | *orient.w* |
| Iteration 1: | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0.0004* | *0.0001* |
| Iteration 2: | *0* | *0.0001* | *0* | *0.0002* | *0.0002* | *0.0002* | *0.0005* |
| Iteration 3: | *0* | *0* | *0.0001* | *0.0003* | *0.0003* | *0.0002* | *0* |
| Iteration 4: | *0* | *0.0001* | *0.0001* | *0.0001* | *0.0001* | *0* | *0.0003* |
| Iteration 5: | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0.0002* | *0.0002* | *0.0002* | *0.0005* |
| Iteration 6: | *0* | *0* | *0.0001* | *0.0003* | *0.0003* | *0.0004* | *0.0001* |
| Iteration 7: | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0.0003* | *0.0003* | *0* | *0.0001* |
| Iteration 8: | *0* | *0.0001* | *0* | *0.0002* | *0.0002* | *0.0007* | *0* |
| Iteration 9: | *0* | *0* | *0.0001* | *0* | *0* | *0.0006* | *0* |
| Iteration 10: | *0.0001* | *0* | *0* | *0.0002* | *0.0002* | *0* | *0.0003* |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Maximum error:*  *position.x* | *position.y* | *position.z* | *orient.x* | *orient.y* | *orient.z* | *orient.w* |
| *0.0001* | *0.0001* | *0.0001* | *0.0003* | *0.0003* | *0.0007* | *0.0005* |
| *Mean error:* | | | | | | |
| *position.x* | *position.y* | *position.z* | *orient.x* | *orient.y* | *orient.z* | *orient.w* |
| *1e-05* | *3e-05* | *4e-05* | *0.00018* | *0.00018* | *0.00027* | *0.00019* |

Table 5.3.5: Calculated errors between target pose and actual pose for kinematics\_solver\_timeout=0.01

For more information about the logged data, refer to the Appendix K: Testing Precision for kinematics\_solver\_timeout: 0.01. Now that the precision and accuracy were analyzed for the move functions, the library will be tested using unit testing in python in the next section.

5.4. TESTING FLUXANA LIBRARY FUNCTIONS

Unit testing leads to better code design that is more easily maintained and is an important tool in code development. Testing code using print statements and running functions to check their outputs is not easy to automate and maintain as the code grows. The use of unit testing is to check that the functions are running correctly independent of the rest of the code. Since each of the functions will have a unique behavior, a unit test for each of the main functions will be created to ensure the correct functionality throughout the code [25][73].

The commands used for testing are as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Description |
| assertTrue(x) | Tests if the argument is true |
| assertFalse(x) | Tests if the argument is false |

Testing the function will be split into testing kinematic functions first followed by testing the general functions.

# TESTING KINEMATIC FUNCTIONS

In the implementation of the following tests, to make sure the robot has had enough time to reach the target pose or joint values, sleep commands are used. This is because the move functions are executed asynchronously by using the MoveIt! command go(wait = False) with the parameter wait set to False instead of the default value True. This is because using go(wait = True) did not allow for the move command to be stopped mid-execution and one of the Fluxana Library specifications is that there should be a stop function. However, those sleep commands are only a temporary solution, and will be replaced by time out mechanisms in the future to optimize the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒() and the

𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡() functions.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Page |
| Testing Moving to Joint Values | 69 |
| Testing Going to Home Position | 70 |
| Testing Moving to a Pose | 70 |
| Testing Moving Along an Axis | 70 |
| Testing Stopping Movement | 70 |
| Testing Setting Acceleration Scale | 71 |
| Testing Setting Velocity Scales | 71 |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Moving to Joint Values |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 =  1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1). The function defines three sets of test joint values and calls the function under testing to go to these joint values. The test function uses  𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑣𝑎𝑙𝑢𝑒𝑠() function to get the current joint values and tests if the actual joint values differ from the value that the joint is supposed to reach by an arbitrarily low margin of error. If the joint values have been reached the test is passed otherwise it is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Going to Home Position |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑜\_ℎ𝑜𝑚𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑜\_ℎ𝑜𝑚𝑒(𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1). The function gives the command to go to home position by calling the function under testing. Like with testing Moving to joint values function, the test function calls the  𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑗𝑜𝑖𝑛𝑡\_𝑣𝑎𝑙𝑢𝑒𝑠() function to get the current joint values and tests if each of the joint values differs from the value that the joint is supposed to reach by an arbitrarily low margin  of error. If the joint values have been reached the test is passed otherwise it is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Moving to a Pose |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒(𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑔𝑒𝑡, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1). The test defines three test poses and goes to them. The test function then uses the  𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒\_𝑟𝑝𝑦() to get the current pose and checks if the robot reached the updated pose with an arbitrarily low margin of error. If the pose has been reached the test is passed otherwise the test is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Moving Along an Axis |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑑𝑖𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑛𝑐𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the function 𝑓𝑥\_ 𝑚𝑜𝑣𝑒\_𝑑𝑖𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑛𝑐𝑒(𝑑𝑖𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑛𝑐𝑒\_𝑣𝑒𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟, 𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 =  1, 𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟 = 1). The test defines three test poses and goes to them, it then increments the x-, y-, and z- directions by the given distance vector of [0.005, 0.005, 0.005] and moves to the new pose using the function under testing. Like the Testing Moving to a Pose function, the test function then uses the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑐𝑢𝑟𝑟𝑒𝑛𝑡\_𝑝𝑜𝑠𝑒\_𝑟𝑝𝑦() to get the current pose and checks if the robot reached the updated pose with an arbitrarily low margin of error. If the pose has been reached the test is passed otherwise the test is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Stopping Movement |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝(). The function goes to home position using the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑜\_ℎ𝑜𝑚𝑒() Fluxana Library function, it then moves the base joint 90° in the positive direction and issues a command to stop the move command. If the velocity becomes arbitrarily close to zero the test is passed, otherwise, the test is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Setting Acceleration Scale |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑎𝑐𝑐𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑟𝑎𝑡𝑖𝑜𝑛\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟). The test function defines two arrays, one containing the invalid acceleration scale values [−5, −1.4, 0, 2, 10] and tests the function does not accept those passed values. The other array containing the valid velocity scale values of ten equally spaced array starting at 0.1 and ending at 1 is tested to see if the values are accepted by the function under testing. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Setting Velocity Scale |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑠𝑐𝑎𝑙𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑒𝑡\_𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟(𝑣𝑒𝑙𝑜𝑐𝑖𝑡𝑦\_𝑓𝑎𝑐𝑡𝑜𝑟). The test function defines two arrays which are the same testing values as in the testing setting acceleration scale test function. The values are passed to the function to check that the invalid values will not be set and that the valid ones will be set, which in that case, the test passes. Otherwise, it fails. |

# TESTING GENERAL FUNCTIONS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Function | Page |
| Testing Releasing Brakes | 71 |
| Testing Powering On | 72 |
| Testing Powering Off | 72 |
| Testing Starting and Stopping External Control | 72 |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Releasing Brakes |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑏𝑟𝑎𝑘𝑒\_𝑟𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑎𝑠𝑒(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and Is used to test the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑏𝑟𝑎𝑘𝑒\_𝑟𝑒𝑙𝑒𝑎𝑠𝑒() by calling it. The robot mode is then checked if it has changed to “RUNNING” using the fluxana library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒(). If the mode was changed, the test is passed, otherwise the test is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Powering On |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑛(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and Is used to test the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑛() by calling it and similarly with the testing releasing brakes function checks if the robot mode, which is retrieved with the help of the  𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒() test function, has been changed to “POWER\_OFF”. If that is true, the test  is passed, otherwise the test is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Powering Off |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑓𝑓(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and Is used to test the Fluxana Library function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑝𝑜𝑤𝑒𝑟\_𝑜𝑓𝑓() by calling it and similarly with the testing releasing brakes and power on test functions checks if the robot mode, which is retrieved with the help of the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑟𝑜𝑏𝑜𝑡\_𝑚𝑜𝑑𝑒() function has been changed to “POWER\_OFF”. If that is true, the test is passed, otherwise the test is failed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Testing Starting and Stopping External Control |
| The test function is implemented under the name 𝑡𝑒𝑠𝑡\_𝑓𝑥\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙(𝑠𝑒𝑙𝑓) and is used to test the Fluxana Library functions 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑡\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() and  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙(). The test function calls the 𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑟𝑡\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() function and checks that the external control program has been started by checking that the program  status is “PLAYING” and that the program running has the name “external\_control.urp”. The  second part of the test function to stops the program by using the Fluxana Library function  𝑓𝑥\_𝑠𝑡𝑜𝑝\_𝑒𝑥𝑡𝑒𝑟𝑛𝑎𝑙\_𝑐𝑜𝑛𝑡𝑟𝑜𝑙() and checking that the program has been stopped checking that the program status is “STOPPED”. The status of whether a program is running or stopped as well as the name of the program is retrieved by calling the helper function 𝑓𝑥\_𝑔𝑒𝑡\_𝑝𝑟𝑜𝑔𝑟𝑎𝑚\_𝑠𝑡𝑎𝑡𝑒(). |

5.5 DISCUSSION

In the preparation for testing and validation section, it was concluded that the Xacro robot description did not correspond to the real robot, therefore, changes necessary were made to get both to match correctly. After correcting the Xacro file, it was noticed that the result for getting the pose for using ROS differed from polyscope, therefore experiments were done to improve result, but was concluded that the polyscope and ROS cannot have the exact result since the polyscope has a limitation on how accurate the TCP can be set.

Following that, tests to measure the error between the goal pose and the current pose revealed that the error was too high when compared to the UR3e precision specification. Some parameters like the MoveIt! pose goal tolerance and inverse Kinematic solver resolution and timeout were changed to decrease the initially measured error, and according to measurements, the results were enhanced.

In the end unit tests were created to test the performance of the Fluxana Library functions. The move command were tested by going to a test goal pose and checking if the robot reached that pose by comparing the actual pose or joint values to the target ones. For testing powering on, powering off, releasing braking of the robot, the corresponding function is called and the robot mode is checked if it changed correctly. However, it was noticed that running start external control function under the name fx\_start\_external\_control() for the first time after starting up the robot causes an error in ROS, but calling the function at other point works correctly. The reason for this is unclear and needs to be investigated further in the future.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

In the previous chapters, an introduction to the Fluxana Library was made along with the purpose it is used for. The basic knowledge needed in robot kinematics and ROS for implementing the library was then introduced followed by an explanation of the Fluxana Library functions and how they were implemented. Testing of the functions was then done to see if they perform as expected and if they can be enhanced simply by changing parameters. This section will conclude the study and introduce Fluxana’s future works to further automate its processes.

6.1. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study aims to build a software library using ROS to automate the loading and unloading operations for Fluxana’s Vitriox 4+ machine. This library is divided into functions that are used for moving the robot and those that are used for general functions that are essential for the application, like running external control or releasing the robot brakes upon starting the robot, and more functions that are necessary for operation.

The library is implemented with ROS where MoveIt! and rospy functions were used in the implementation. RViz was also used for the visualization and debugging of the software. The testing is done on a Universal Robots UR3e, where it is divided into testing the performance of some functions from setting the different parameters as well as unit testing main functions of the library.

6.2. FUTURE WORKS

The Fluxana library will be expanded to include gripper functions to be able to fully use it for pick and place applications. Fluxana Library will then be used in the creation of a GUI that will be used by the user to access the different functions of the library. This GUI will be run on a Windows computer since the Vitriox 4+ uses a windows machine as depicted in the following figure, where the functions from the Fluxana library use rospy, MoveIt! Commander python interface functions as well as RViz. This windows PC used by the machine will be set as the client and will be communicating with the robot by first communicating with the ROS master running on a Linux machine. The Universal Robots ROS driver on the Linux machine can then interact with the robot by creating a network that contains the robot and the Linux machine on it.




Figure 6.2.1: Future plans fort he UR3e as given by Fluxana’s project documentation

Artificial intelligence will be used to enhance the performance of the Fluxana Library. For the loading and unloading of crucibles and glass beads with the UR3e, computer vision and machine learning models will be used to check if the crucibles are placed correctly before starting the machine to avoid safety hazards that can result from the molten sample spilling inside the furnace in the machine during rotation and issue a message for the user in case of an error occurring.

Fluxana, also plans to automate other processes in the future. These projects include powder dosage for fusion processes, transport of crucibles, samples, and glass beads between stations using cobots, and more as can be seen in the figure below, where the arrows indicate the transportation of necessary equipment by the cobot between stations. At each station, the corresponding process will be automated:




Figure 6.2.2: Fluxana’s future projects for automating their processes and the connection between them